

0295-0373 – Athanasius – Historia Arianorum

History of the Arians

this file has been downloaded from <http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/npnf204.html>

266

Introduction to Historia Arianorum.

(Written 358.)

This History takes up the narrative from the admission of Arius to communion at the ‘dedication’ synod of Jerusalem (adjourned Council of Tyre) in 335, as described in *Apol. c. Ar.* 84. It has been commonly assumed from its abrupt beginning (the ταῦτα, referring to an antecedent narrative) that the History has lost its earlier chapters, which contained the story of Arianism *ab ovo*. Montfaucon suggests in fact that the copyists omitted the first chapters on account of their identity in substance with the great Apology. But this seems to require reconsideration. If the alleged missing chapters were different¹⁵¹¹ in form from the second part of the Apology, they would not have been omitted: for such repetitions of the same matter in other words are very frequent in the works of Athanasius: but if they were identical in form, they are not lost, and the conclusion is that the History was written with the express intention of continuing the Apology. The customary inference from the abrupt commencement of the History may be dismissed with a *non sequitur*. Such a commencement was natural under the circumstances: we may compare the case of Xenophon, whose ‘Hellenica’ begin with the words Μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα, οὐ πολλαῖς ἡμέραις ὕστερον..., the reference being to the end of the history of Thucydides. The view here maintained is clinched by the fact that Athanasius at this very time *reissued* his Apology against the Arians with an appendix (§§89, 90) on the lapse of Hosius and Liberius¹⁵¹².

The History of the Arians, then, is a complete work, and written to continue the narrative of the second part of the Apology. Being in fact a manifesto against Constantius, it naturally takes up the tale just before his entry upon the scene as the patron of Arianism. The substantially Athanasian authorship of the History cannot be questioned. The writer occasionally, like many others ancient and modern, speaks of himself in the third person (references §21, note 5, see also *Orat. i.* 3); but in other places he clearly identifies himself with Athanasius. The only passage which appears to distinguish the writer from Athanasius (§52, see note), may be due to the bishop’s habitual (*Apol. Const.* 11) employment of an amanuensis, but more probably the text is corrupt; in any case the passage cannot weigh against the clear sense of §21. The *immediate* Athanasian authorship of the piece has been questioned partly on the ground of its alleged incompleteness, partly on that of several slight discrepancies with other writings. On this twofold ground it is inferred that the Arian History has passed through some obscure process of re-editing (Gwatkin, *Studies*, p. 99, §14 ‘dependent on the *Vita [Antonii]* 86,’ p. 127, ‘not an uncorrupted work’) by a later hand. I am quite

¹⁵¹¹ i.e. slight modifications excepted, see Montf. in *Migne*, P.G. xxv. 318, note 46, and 389, note 60.

¹⁵¹² For another example of hastily inferred mutilation, see §48, note 3.

unconvinced of this. The incompleteness of the work is, as I think I have shewn above, an unnecessary hypothesis, while the mistakes or inconsistencies may well be due to circumstances of composition. It was written in hiding, perhaps while moving from place to place, certainly under more pressure of highly wrought agitation and bitterness of spirit than any other work of Athanasius. The most accurate of men when working at leisure make strange slips at times (e.g. §13, note 4); the mistakes in the History are not more than one might expect in such a work. The principal are, §21 (see note 3), §14 (reference in note 8), §11, πρὸν γενέσθαι ταῦτα (cf. *Encycl.* 5), §47 (inverting order of events in §39).

The date of the History is at first sight a difficulty. The fall of Liberius is dealt with in Part V., which must therefore have been written not earlier than 358 (the exact chronology of the lapse of Liberius is not certain), while yet in §4 Leontius, who died in the summer or autumn of 357, is still bishop of Antioch. We must therefore suppose that the History was begun at about the time when the *Apologia de Fuga* was finished (cf. the bitter conclusion of that tract) and completed when the lapse of Liberius was known in Egypt. A more accurate determination of date is not permitted by our materials.

267

The tract before us is in effect a fierce anonymous pamphlet against Constantius. Even apart from the references in the letters to the Monks and to Serapion (see below), the work bears clear marks of having been intended for secret circulation (for the practice, see Fialon, pp. 193–199). ‘Instead of the “pious” Emperor who was so well versed in Scripture, whose presence would gladden a dedication festival, whose well-known humanity forbade the supposition that he could have perpetrated a deliberate injustice, we find a Costyllius (or “Connikin”) whose misdeeds could only be palliated by the imbecility which rendered him the slave of his own servant—inhuman towards his nearest of kin,—false and crafty, a Pharaoh, a Saul, an Ahab, a Belshazzar, more cruel than Pilate or Maximian, ignorant of the Gospels, a patron of heresy, a precursor of Antichrist, an enemy of Christ, as if himself, Antichrist, and—the words must be written—self-abandoned to the future doom of fire’ (Bright, *Introd.* p. lxxviii., and see §§9, 30, 32, 34, 40, 45, 46, 51, 53, 67–70, 74, 80). There are certainly many passages which one could wish that Athanasius had not written,—one, not necessary to specify, in which he fully condescends to the coarse brutality of the age, mingling it unpardonably with holy things. But Athanasius was human, and exasperated by inhuman vindictiveness and perfidy. If in the passages referred to he falls below himself, and speaks in the spirit of his generation, there are not wanting passages equal in nobility to anything he ever wrote. Once more to quote Dr. Bright: ‘The beautiful description of the Archbishop’s return from his second exile, and of its moral and religious effect upon Alexandrian Church society (25), the repeated protests against the principle of persecution as alien to the mind of the Church of Christ (29, 33, 67), the tender allusion to sympathy for the poor as instinctive in human nature (63), the vivid picture—doubtless somewhat coloured by imagination—of the stand made by Western bishops, and notably for a time by Liberius, against the tyrannous dictation of Constantius in matters ecclesiastical (34 *sqq.* 76), the generous estimate of Hosius and Liberius in the hour of their infirmity (41, 45), the three golden passages which describe the union maintained by a common faith and a

sincere affection between friends who are separated from each other (40), the all-sufficient presence of God with His servants in their extremest solitude (47), and the future joy when heaven would be to sufferers for the truth as a calm haven to sailors after a storm (79). It is in such contexts that we see the true Athanasius, and touch the source of his magnificent insuperable constancy' (p. lxxix.). Nothing could be more just, or more happily put. It ought to be noted before leaving this part of the subject, that the language put into the mouth of Constantius and the Arians (33 fin. 1, 3, 9, 12, 15, 30, 42, 45, 60), is not so much a report of their words as 'a representation *ad invidiam* of what is assumed to have been in their minds.' Other instances of this are to be found in Athanasius (*Ep. Æg.* 18, *Orat.* iii. 17), and he uses the device advisedly (*de Syn.* 7, *middle*).

The letter to Serapion on the death of Arius, and the letter to Monks, which in mss. and printed editions are prefixed to this treatise, will be found in the collection of letters below (No. 54 and 52). They have been removed from their time-honoured place in accordance with the general arrangement of this volume, though not without hesitation, and apart from any intention to dogmatise on the relation they bear to the present tract.

The 'Arian History' has commonly been called the 'Hist. Arianorum ad Monachos,' or even the 'Epistola ad Monachos;' even at the present day it is sometimes cited simply as 'ad Monachos.' The History has derived this title from the fact, that in the Codices and editions, the Letter and History are frequently joined together without any sign of division. At the same time the correctness of this collocation is not entirely free from doubt.

Serapion (*Letter* 54 §1) had written to Athanasius asking for three things,—a history of recent events relating to himself, an *exposé* of the Arian heresy, and an exact account of the death of Arius. The latter Athanasius furnishes in the letter just referred to. For the two former, he refers Serapion to a document he had written for the monks (ἄπερ ἔγραψα τοῖς μοναχοῖς), and which he now sends to Serapion. He begs Serapion at the end of his letter not on any account to part with the letters he has received, nor to copy them (he gave, he adds, the same directions to the monks, cf. *Letter* 52. 3), but to send them back with such corrections and additions as he might think desirable. He refers him to his letter to the monks for an explanation of the circumstances which render this precaution necessary. The monks (*ib.* 1) had apparently made the same request as Serapion afterwards made. It has been conjectured that the four 'Orations' against Arianism, or the first three, are the treatise on the heresy addressed to the monks and subsequently sent to Serapion. But the description of that treatise ἔγραψα διά ὀλίγων (*Letter* 52. 1) is quite inapplicable to the longest treatise extant among the works of Athanasius. Still less, even if the Arian History were a fragment (see above), could we suppose that the accompanying treatise formed the missing first part. We must therefore acquiesce in the conclusion that the treatise in question has perished. Accordingly we cannot be sure (although it is generally regarded as highly probable¹⁵¹³⁾ that the historical portion is preserved to us in the 'Arian History.' In any case the Letter to Monks is quite unconnected with it in its subject matter,

¹⁵¹³ See Eichhorn, p. 61; Bright. p. lxxiv.

and ends with the blessing, as the History does with the doxology, in the form of an independent document.

While admitting, therefore, the naturalness of the traditional arrangement, we may fairly treat the two as distinct, and permit the Arian History to launch the reader without preamble *in medias res*.

As the tract is long, and various in its subject-matter, the following scheme of contents may be found useful. It will be noted that chronological order is observed in Parts I.–IV., i.e. till 355, when the existing persecution of Constantius, the main theme of the History (*Letter 52, §1*), is reached. The history of this persecution is dealt with (Parts V.–VII.) with much more fulness, and is grouped round subjects each of which covers more or less the same period. Part VIII. deals with the more recent events in Egypt.

Part I. Proceedings of the Arians from the Council of Tyre till the return of the Exiles (335–337).

- §§1–3. General character of their proceedings.
- §§4–7. Persecution of the orthodox bishops.
- §8. Restoration of the exiles after Constantine's death.

Part II. Second Exile of Athanasius, till the Council of Sardica (337–343).

- §9. Renewed intrigues against Athanasius.
- §10. Gregory intruded by Constantius as bishop.
- §11. Athanasius at Rome. Negotiations for a Council there.
- §§12–14. Violent proceedings of Gregory. Case of Duke Balacius.

Part III. From Sardica till the Death of Constans (343–350).

- §15. The meeting of the Synod. Dismay of the Arianising bishops.
- §16. Their flight from the Synod.
- §17. Proceedings of the Synod.
- §§18, 19. Continued persecution after it.
- §20. The infamous plot of Stephen against the Sardican legates at Antioch.
- §§21, 22. Constantius changes his mind and recalls Athanasius with a solemn oath to defend him for the future.
- §§23, 24. Letters of Constantius at this time.
- §25. Return of Athanasius (346).
- §26. Recantation of Valens and Ursacius.
- §27. Peace and joy of the Church.

Part IV. From the Death of Constans to the Council of Milan (351–355).

- §28. Renewed intrigues of the Arianising party.
- §§29, 30. Valens, Ursacius, and the Emperor return to Arianism.
- §§31, 32. Constantius again persecutes the Church.

- §33. Wickedness of persecution. Western bishops banished by Constantius [at Milan].
- §34. How they diffused the truth wherever they went.

Part V. Liberius (355–358).

- §35–37. Firmness of Liberius and rage of Constantius.
- §38. Concerning the eunuchs at the Court.
- §39, 40. Liberius rebukes the Emperor and is cruelly exiled.
- §41. After two years of exile, Liberius gives way under force.

Part VI. Hosius (355–358).

- §42. Intrigues against Hosius.
- §43. Vain attempts of Constantius to gain him over.
- §44. Letter of Hosius remonstrating with the Emperor.
- §§45, 46. Lapse of Hosius, his fidelity to Athanasius, recantation, and death.
- §47. Monstrosity of the above proceedings.

Part VII. The attacks upon Athanasius (351–356).

- 269
- §47. Athanasius isolated by the exile of other bishops.
- §48. Attacks upon Athanasius himself (353–356).
- §§49, (50), 51. Hypocrisy of the Emperor's pretended regard for his Father and Brother.
- §§52, 53. Impropriety of Imperial intervention in Church affairs.
- §54. The Churches at Alexandria given to the Arians.
- §55. Violence of Cataphronius, Prefect of Egypt.
- §§56, 57. Sack of the great church: divine judgments.
- §58. Scenes of persecution.
- §§59, 60. Savagery of Duke Sebastian. Martyrdom of Eutychius (356).
- §§61–63. Cruel treatment of the poor, and of the clergy.

Part VIII. Further details of the Persecution in Egypt (357).

- §64. The Arian persecution more cruel than that of Maximian.
- §65. Martyrdom of Secundus of Barka.
- §§66, 67. Persecution the disgrace of the new heresy.
- §68, 69. Constantius worse than Ahab, &c., and inhuman toward his own family.
- §70. His fickleness, lack of character, and tyranny.
- §71. Novelty of this persecution on the part of pretended Christians.
- §72. Cruel exile of bishops and torture of monks and lay people.
- §73. Venal appointments to fill the vacancies thus created.
- §74. The predicted signs of Antichrist applied to Constantius.
- §75. Arrival of George at Alexandria.
- §76, 77. Further marks of Antichrist in the tyranny of Constantius over the Church.

§78, 79. The Meletians the allies of Arianism in Egypt.

§80. Duty of separating from heretics.

§81. *Appendix* to §48. Second protest of the Church of Alexandria against the proceedings of Syrianus (356).

270

History of the Arians.

Part I.

Arian Persecution Under Constantine.

And not long after they put in execution the designs for the sake of which they had had recourse to these artifices; for they no sooner had formed their plans, but they immediately admitted Arius and his fellows to communion. They set aside the repeated condemnations which had been passed upon them, and again pretended the imperial authority¹⁵¹⁴ in their behalf. And they were not ashamed to say in their letters, ‘since Athanasius suffered, all jealousy¹⁵¹⁵ has ceased, and let us henceforward receive Arius and his fellows;’ adding, in order to frighten their hearers, ‘because the Emperor has commanded it.’ Moreover, they were not ashamed to add, ‘for these men profess orthodox opinions;’ not fearing that which is written, ‘Woe unto them that call bitter sweet, that put darkness for light¹⁵¹⁶;’ for they are ready to undertake anything in support of their heresy. Now is it not hereby plainly proved to all men, that we both suffered heretofore, and that you now persecute us, not under the authority of an Ecclesiastical sentence¹⁵¹⁷, but on the ground of the Emperor’s threats, and on account of our piety towards Christ? As also they conspired in like manner against other Bishops, fabricating charges against them also; some of whom fell asleep in the place of their exile, having attained the glory of Christian confession; and others are still banished from their country, and contend still more and more manfully against their heresy, saying, ‘Nothing shall separate us from the love of Christ¹⁵¹⁸?’

2. Arians sacrifice morality and integrity to party.

¹⁵¹⁴ §33.

¹⁵¹⁵ φθόνος.

¹⁵¹⁶ Is. v. 20.

¹⁵¹⁷ Infr. §76.

¹⁵¹⁸ Rom. viii. 35.

And hence also you may discern its character, and be able to condemn it more confidently. The man who is their friend and their associate in impiety, although he is open to ten thousand charges for other enormities which he has committed; although the evidence and proof against him are most clear; he is approved of by them, and straightway becomes the friend of the Emperor, obtaining an introduction by his impiety; and making very many pretences, he acquires confidence before the magistrates to do whatever he desires. But he who exposes their impiety, and honestly advocates the cause of Christ, though he is pure in all things, though he is conscious of no delinquencies, though he meets with no accuser; yet on the false pretences which they have framed against him, is immediately seized and sent into banishment under a sentence of the Emperor, as if he were guilty of the crimes which they wish to charge upon him, or as if, like Naboth, he had insulted the King; while he who advocates the cause of their heresy is sought for and immediately sent to take possession of the other's Church; and henceforth confiscations and insults, and all kinds of cruelty are exercised against those who do not receive him. And what is the strangest of all, the man whom the people desire, and know to be blameless¹⁵¹⁹, the Emperor takes away and banishes; but him whom they neither desire, nor know, he sends to them from a distant place with soldiers and letters from himself. And henceforward a strong necessity is laid upon them, either to hate him whom they love; who has been their teacher, and their father in godliness; and to love him whom they do not desire, and to trust their children to one of whose life and conversation and character they are ignorant; or else certainly to suffer punishment, if they disobey the Emperor.

3. Recklessness of their proceedings.

271

In this manner the impious are now proceeding, as heretofore, against the orthodox; giving proof of their malice and impiety amongst all men everywhere. For granting that they have accused Athanasius; yet what have the other Bishops done? On what grounds can they charge them? Has there been found in their case too the dead body of an Arsenius? Is there a Presbyter Macarius, or has a cup been broken amongst them? Is there a Meletian to play the hypocrite? No: but as their proceedings against the other Bishops shew the charges which they have brought against Athanasius, in all probability, to be false; so their attacks upon Athanasius make it plain, that their accusations of the other Bishops are unfounded likewise. This heresy has come forth upon the earth like some great monster, which not only injures the innocent with its words, as with teeth¹⁵²⁰; but it has also hired external power to assist it in its designs. And strange it is that, as I said before, no accusation is brought against any of them; or if any be accused, he is not brought to trial; or if a shew of enquiry be made, he is acquitted against evidence, while the convicting party is plotted against, rather than the culprit put to shame. Thus the whole party of them is full of idleness; and their spies, for

¹⁵¹⁹ 1 Tim. iii. 2.

¹⁵²⁰ Vid. Dan. vii. 5, 7.

Bishops¹⁵²¹ they are not, are the vilest of them all. And if any one among them desire to become a Bishop, he is not told, ‘a Bishop must be blameless¹⁵²²;’ but only, ‘Take up opinions contrary to Christ, and care not for manners. This will be sufficient to obtain favour for you, and friendship with the Emperor.’ Such is the character of those who support the tenets of Arius. And they who are zealous for the truth, however holy and pure they shew themselves, are yet, as I said before, made culprits, whenever these men choose, and on whatever pretences it may seem good to them to invent. The truth of this, as I before remarked, you may clearly gather from their proceedings.

4. *Arians persecute Eustathius and others.*

There was one Eustathius¹⁵²³, Bishop of Antioch, a Confessor, and sound in the Faith. This man, because he was very zealous for the truth, and hated the Arian heresy, and would not receive those who adopted its tenets, is falsely accused before the Emperor Constantine, and a charge invented against him, that he had insulted his mother¹⁵²⁴. And immediately he is driven into banishment, and a great number of Presbyters and Deacons with him. And immediately after the banishment of the Bishop, those whom he would not admit into the clerical order on account of their impiety were not only received into the Church by them, but were even appointed the greater part of them to be Bishops, in order that they might have accomplices in their impiety. Among these was Leontius the eunuch¹⁵²⁵, now of Antioch, and his predecessor Stephanus, George of Laodicea, and Theodosius who was of Tripolis, Eudoxius of Germanicia, and Eustathius¹⁵²⁶, now of Sebastia.

5. Did they then stop here? No. For Eutropius¹⁵²⁷, who was Bishop of Adrianople, a good man, and excellent in all respects, because he had often convicted Eusebius, and had advised them who came that way, not to comply with his impious dictates, suffered the same treatment as Eustathius, and was cast out of his city and his Church. Basilina¹⁵²⁸ was the most active in the proceedings

¹⁵²¹ Cf. §49. [The play on words cannot be rendered.]

¹⁵²² 1 Tim. iii. 2.

¹⁵²³ *Apol. Fug.* 3, note 9.

¹⁵²⁴ If the common slander of the day concerning S. Helena was imputed to S. Eustathius, Constantine was likely to feel it keenly. ‘Stabulariam,’ says S. Ambrose, ‘hanc primo fuisse asserunt, sic cognitam Constantio.’ de Ob. *Theod.* 42, Stabularia, i.e. an innkeeper; so Rahab is sometimes considered to be ‘cauponaria sive tabernaria et meretrix,’ Cornel. à Lap. in Jos. ii. 1. ἐξ ὄμιλιας γυναικὸς οὐδὲ κατὰ νόμον συνελθούσης. Zosim, *Hist.* ii. p. 78. Constantinus ex concubina Helena procreatus. Hieron. in *Chron. Euseb.* p. 773. (ed. Vallars.) Tillemont however maintains (*Empereurs*, t. 4. p. 613), and Gibbon fully admits (*Hist.* ch. 14. p. 190), the legitimacy of Constantine. The latter adds, ‘Eutropius (x. 2.) expresses in a few words the real truth, and the occasion of the error, “ex obscuriori matrimonio ejus filius.”’ [Cf. *Soz.* ii. 19.]

¹⁵²⁵ Below, §28, note.

¹⁵²⁶ *Ep. Ag.* 7.

¹⁵²⁷ *Ap. Fug.* 3.

¹⁵²⁸ Julian’s mother.

against him. And Euphrat of Balanea, Kymatius of Paltus, Carterius of Antaradus¹⁵²⁹, Asclepas of Gaza, Cyrus of Berœa in Syria, Diodorus of Asia, Domnion of Sirmium, and Ellanicus of Tripolis, were merely known to hate the heresy; and some of them on one pretence or another, some without any, they removed under the authority of royal letters, drove them out of their cities, and appointed others whom they knew to be impious men, to occupy the Churches in their stead.

6. *Case of Marcellus.*

Of Marcellus¹⁵³⁰, the Bishop of Galatia, it is perhaps superfluous for me to speak; for all men have heard how Eusebius and his fellows, who had been first accused by him of impiety, brought a counter-accusation against him, and caused the old man to be banished. He went up to Rome, and there made his defence, and being required by them, he offered a written declaration of his faith, of which the Council of Sardica approved. But Eusebius and his fellows made no defence, nor, when they were convicted of impiety out of their writings, were they put to shame, but rather assumed greater boldness against all. For they had an introduction to the Emperor from the women¹⁵³¹, and were formidable to all men.

272

7. *Martyrdom of Paul of Constantinople.*

And I suppose no one is ignorant of the case of Paul¹⁵³², Bishop of Constantinople; for the more illustrious any city is, so much the more that which takes place in it is not concealed. A charge was fabricated against him also. For Macedonius his accuser, who has now become Bishop in his stead (I was present myself at the accusation), afterwards held communion with him, and was a Presbyter under Paul himself. And yet when Eusebius with an evil eye wished to seize upon the Bishopric of that city (he had been translated in the same manner from Berytus to Nicomedia), the charge was revived against Paul; and they did not give up their plot, but persisted in the calumny. And he was banished first into Pontus by Constantine, and a second time by Constantius he was sent bound with iron chains to Singara in Mesopotamia, and from thence transferred to Emesa, and a fourth time he was banished to Cucusus in Cappadocia, near the deserts of Mount Taurus; where, as those who were with him have declared, he died by strangulation at their hands. And yet these men who never speak the truth, though guilty of this, were not ashamed after his death to invent another story, representing that he had died from illness; although all who live in that place know the

¹⁵²⁹ [The text must be corrected thus; see *Apol. Fug.* 3.]

¹⁵³⁰ *Apol. Ar.* 32.

¹⁵³¹ i.e. Constantia, Constantine's sister.

¹⁵³² *Ap. Fug.* 3. For the presence of Ath. at CP. in 337, see Prolegg. ii. §5 fin.]

circumstances. And even Philagrius¹⁵³³, who was then Deputy-Governor¹⁵³⁴ of those parts, and represented all their proceedings in such manner as they desired, was yet astonished at this; and being grieved perhaps that another, and not himself, had done the evil deed, he informed Serapion the Bishop, as well as many other of our friends, that Paul was shut up by them in a very confined and dark place, and left to perish of hunger; and when after six days they went in and found him still alive, they immediately set upon the man, and strangled him. This was the end of his life; and they said that Philip who was Prefect was their agent in the perpetration of this murder. Divine Justice, however, did not overlook this; for not a year passed, when Philip was deprived of his office in great disgrace, so that being reduced to a private station, he became the mockery of those whom he least desired to be the witnesses of his fall. For in extreme distress of mind, groaning and trembling like Cain¹⁵³⁵, and expecting every day that some one would destroy him, far from his country and his friends, he died, like one astounded at his misfortunes, in a manner that he least desired. Moreover these men spare not even after death those against whom they have invented charges whilst living. They are so eager to shew themselves formidable to all, that they banish the living, and shew no mercy on the dead; but alone of all the world they manifest their hatred to them that are departed, and conspire against their friends, truly inhuman as they are, and haters of that which is good, savage in temper beyond mere enemies, in behalf of their impiety, who eagerly plot the ruin of me and of all the rest, with no regard to truth, but by false charges.

8. Restoration of the Catholics.

Perceiving this to be the case, the three brothers, Constantine, Constantius, and Constans, caused all after the death of their father to return to their own country and Church; and while they wrote letters concerning the rest to their respective Churches, concerning Athanasius they wrote the following; which likewise shews the violence of the whole proceedings, and proves the murderous disposition of Eusebius and his fellows.

A copy of the Letter of Constantine Cæsar to the people of the Catholic Church in the city of the Alexandrians.

I suppose that it has not escaped the knowledge of your pious minds¹⁵³⁶, &c.

This is his letter; and what more credible witness of their conspiracy could there be than he, who knowing these circumstances has thus written of them?

¹⁵³³ [Cf. Prolegg. ch. ii. §6 (1) note 3.]

¹⁵³⁴ Vicarius, i.e. ‘vicarius Præfecti, agens vicem Præfecti;’ Gothofred in *Cod. Theod.* i. tit. 6. vid. their office, &c., drawn out at length, *ibid.* t. 6, p. 334.

¹⁵³⁵ Gen. iv. 12, LXX. supr. p. 241.

¹⁵³⁶ Given above, *Apol. contr. Arian.* §87.

Part II.

First Arian Persecution under Constantius.

9. Eusebius and his fellows, however, seeing the declension of their heresy, wrote to Rome, as well as to the Emperors Constantine and Constans, to accuse¹⁵³⁷ Athanasius: but when the persons who were sent by Athanasius disproved the statements which they had written, they were put to shame by the Emperors; and Julius, Bishop of Rome, wrote to say¹⁵³⁸ that a Council ought to be held, wherever we should desire, in order that they might exhibit the charges which they had to make, and might also freely defend themselves concerning those things of which they too were accused. The Presbyters also who were sent by them, when they saw themselves making an exposure, requested that this might be done. Whereupon these men, whose conduct is suspicious in all that they do, when they see that they are not likely to get the better in an Ecclesiastical trial, betake themselves to Constantius alone, and thenceforth bewail themselves, as to the patron of their heresy. ‘Spare,’ they say, ‘the heresy; you see that all men have withdrawn from us; and very few of us are now left. Begin to persecute, for we are being deserted even of those few, and are left destitute. Those persons whom we forced over to our side, when these men were banished, they now by their return have persuaded again to take part against us. Write letters therefore against them all, and send out Philagrius a second time¹⁵³⁹ as Prefect of Egypt, for he is able to carry on a persecution favourably for us, as he has already shewn upon trial, and the more so, as he is an apostate. Send also Gregory as Bishop to Alexandria, for he too is able to strengthen our heresy.’

10. *Violent Intrusion of Gregory.*

Accordingly Constantius at once writes letters, and commences a persecution against all, and sends Philagrius as Prefect with one Arsacius an eunuch; he sends also Gregory with a military force. And the same consequences followed as before¹⁵⁴⁰. For gathering together a multitude of herdsmen and shepherds, and other dissolute youths belonging to the town, armed with swords and clubs, they attacked in a body the Church which is called the Church of Quirinus¹⁵⁴¹; and some they slew, some they trampled under foot, others they beat with stripes and cast into prison or banished. They haled away many women also, and dragged them openly into the court, and insulted them,

¹⁵³⁷ *Apol. c. Ar. 3.*

¹⁵³⁸ Ib. 20.

¹⁵³⁹ §7, note 1, *Encycl. 3.*

¹⁵⁴⁰ Upon the Commission, *Apol. Ar. 15.*

¹⁵⁴¹ Cyrus.

dragging them by the hair. Some they proscribed; from some they took away their bread¹⁵⁴² for no other reason, but that they might be induced to join the Arians, and receive Gregory, who had been sent by the Emperor.

11. *The Easterns decline the Council at Rome.*

Athanasius, however, before these things happened¹⁵⁴³, at the first report of their proceedings, sailed to Rome, knowing the rage of the heretics, and for the purpose of having the Council held as had been determined. And Julius wrote letters to them, and sent the Presbyters Elpidius and Philoxenus, appointing a day¹⁵⁴⁴, that they might either come, or consider themselves as altogether suspected persons. But as soon as Eusebius and his fellows heard that the trial was to be an Ecclesiastical one, at which no Count would be present, nor soldiers stationed before the doors, and that the proceedings would not be regulated by royal order (for they have always depended upon these things to support them against the Bishops, and without them they have no boldness even to speak); they were so alarmed that they detained the Presbyters till after the appointed time, and pretended an unseemly excuse, that they were not able to come now on account of the war which was begun by the Persians¹⁵⁴⁵. But this was not the true cause of their delay, but the fears of their own consciences. For what have Bishops to do with war? Or if they were unable on account of the Persians to come to Rome, although it is at a distance and beyond sea, why did they like lions¹⁵⁴⁶ go about the parts of the East and those which are near the Persians, seeking who was opposed to them, that they might falsely accuse and banish them?

12. At any rate, when they had dismissed the Presbyters with this improbable excuse, they said to one another, ‘Since we are unable to get the advantage in an Ecclesiastical trial, let us exhibit our usual audacity.’ Accordingly they write to Philagrius, and cause him after a while to go out with Gregory into Egypt. Whereupon the Bishops are severely scourged and cast into chains¹⁵⁴⁷. Sarapammon, for instance, Bishop and Confessor, they drive into banishment; Potammon, Bishop and Confessor, who had lost an eye in the persecution, they beat with stripes on the neck so cruelly, that he appeared to be dead before they came to an end. In which condition he was cast aside, and hardly after some hours, being carefully attended and fanned, he revived, God granting him his life; but a short time after he died of the sufferings caused by the stripes, and attained in Christ to the glory of a second martyrdom. And besides these, how many monks were scourged, while

¹⁵⁴² Vid. infr. §63.

¹⁵⁴³ [A misstatement, cf. supra pp. 91, 95, note 1.]

¹⁵⁴⁴ προθεσμίαν, *Apol. Ar.* 25, note 6 [a.d. 340].

¹⁵⁴⁵ *Apol. Ar.* 25, note 8.

¹⁵⁴⁶ 1 Pet. v. 8.

¹⁵⁴⁷ *Apol. Ar.* 30 and foll.

Gregory sat by with Balacius the ‘Duke!’ how many Bishops were wounded! how many virgins were beaten!

13. *Cruelties of Gregory at Alexandria.*

After this the wretched Gregory called upon all men to have communion with him. But if thou didst demand of them communion, they were not worthy of stripes: and if thou didst scourge them as if evil persons, why didst thou ask it of them as if holy? But he had no other end in view, except to fulfil the designs of them that sent him, and to establish the heresy. Wherefore he became in his folly a murderer and an executioner, injurious, crafty, and profane; in one word, an enemy of Christ. He so cruelly persecuted the Bishop’s aunt, that even when she died he would not suffer her to be buried¹⁵⁴⁸. And this would have been her lot; she would have been cast away without burial, had not they who attended on the corpse carried her out as one of their own kindred. Thus even in such things he shewed his profane temper. And again when the widows and other mendicants¹⁵⁴⁹ had received alms, he commanded what had been given them to be seized, and the vessels in which they carried their oil and wine to be broken, that he might not only shew impiety by robbery, but in his deeds dishonour the Lord; from whom very shortly¹⁵⁵⁰ he will hear those words, ‘Inasmuch as thou hast dishonoured these, thou hast dishonoured Me¹⁵⁵¹.’

14. *Profaneness of Gregory and death of Balacius.*

And many other things he did, which exceed the power of language to describe, and which whoever should hear would think to be incredible. And the reason why he acted thus was, because he had not received his ordination according to ecclesiastical rule, nor had been called to be a Bishop by apostolical tradition¹⁵⁵²; but had been sent out from court with military power and pomp, as one entrusted with a secular government. Wherefore he boasted rather to be the friend of Governors, than of Bishops and Monks. Whenever, therefore, our Father Antony wrote to him from the mountains, as godliness is an abomination to a sinner, so he abhorred the letters of the holy man. But whenever the Emperor, or a General, or other magistrate, sent him a letter, he was as much overjoyed as those in the Proverbs, of whom the Word has said indignantly, ‘Woe unto them who leave the path of uprightness who rejoice to do evil, and delight in the frowardness of the

¹⁵⁴⁸ Cf. *Apol. Const.* §27 fin.

¹⁵⁴⁹ ἀνεξόδων, vid. infr. §60. Tillemont translates it, prisoners. Montfaucon has been here followed; vid. Collect. Nov. t. 2. p. xliii.

¹⁵⁵⁰ ὅσον οὐδέπω, as §32. George was pulled to pieces by the populace, a.d. 362. This was written a.d. 358, or later. [There is the common confusion in this note between Gregory and George. Gregory had died June 26, 345.]

¹⁵⁵¹ Vid. Matt. xxv. 45.

¹⁵⁵² [Prolegg. ch. iv. §4.]

wicked¹⁵⁵³.' And so he honoured with presents the bearers of these letters; but once when Antony wrote to him he caused Duke Balacius to spit upon the letter, and to cast it from him. But Divine Justice did not overlook this; for no long time after, when the Duke was on horseback, and on his way to the first halt¹⁵⁵⁴, the horse turned his head, and biting him on the thigh, threw him off; and within three days he died.

Part III.

Restoration of the Catholics on the Council of Sardica

15. While they were proceeding in like measures towards all, at Rome about fifty Bishops assembled¹⁵⁵⁵, and denounced Eusebius and his fellows as persons suspected, afraid to come, and also condemned as unworthy of credit the written statement they had sent; but us they received, and gladly embraced our communion. While these things were taking place, a report of the Council held at Rome, and of the proceedings against the Churches at Alexandria, and through all the East, came to the hearing of the Emperor Constans¹⁵⁵⁶. He writes to his brother Constantius, and immediately they both determine¹⁵⁵⁷ that a Council shall be called, and matters be brought to a settlement, so that those who had been injured may be released from further suffering, and the injurious be no longer able to perpetrate such outrages. Accordingly there assemble at the city of Sardica both from the East and West to the number of one hundred and seventy Bishops¹⁵⁵⁸, more or less; those who came from the West were Bishops only, having Hosius for their father, but those from the East brought with them instructors of youth and advocates, Count Musonianus, and Hesychius¹⁵⁵⁹ the Castrenian; on whose account they came with great alacrity, thinking that everything would be again managed by their authority. For thus by means of these persons they

¹⁵⁵³ Prov. ii. 13, 14, LXX.

¹⁵⁵⁴ μονήv. vid. supr. *Ap. Ar.* 29, note 2. This halt or station which lay up the Nile was called Cereu (*V. Ant.* §86), or Chæreus, or the land or property of Chæreus, vid. *Naz. Orat.* 21, 29, who says it was the place where the people met Athanasius on his return from exile on Constantius's death. [The incident is related differently in *Vit. Ant. ubi supra*: see note there.]

¹⁵⁵⁵ *Apol. Ar.* 1, note 1.

¹⁵⁵⁶ *Apol. Const.* 4, note 8.

¹⁵⁵⁷ Below, §50.

¹⁵⁵⁸ Vid. supr. pp. 127, note 10, and 147.

¹⁵⁵⁹ *Apol. Ar.* 36, notes 8, 9.

have always shewn themselves formidable to any whom they wished to intimidate, and have prosecuted their designs against whomsoever they chose. But when they arrived and saw that the cause was to be conducted as simply an ecclesiastical one, without the interference of the Count or of soldiers; when they saw the accusers who came from every church and city, and the evidence which was brought against them, when they saw the venerable Bishops Arius and Asterius¹⁵⁶⁰, who came up in their company, withdrawing from them and siding with us¹⁵⁶¹, and giving an account of their cunning, and how suspicious their conduct was, and that they were fearing the consequences of a trial, lest they should be convicted by us of being false informers, and it should be discovered by those whom they produced in the character of accusers, that they had themselves suggested all they were to say, and were the contrivers of the plot. Perceiving this to be the case, although they had come with great zeal, as thinking that we should be afraid to meet them, yet now when they saw our alacrity, they shut themselves up in the Palace¹⁵⁶² (for they had their abode there), and proceeded to confer with one another in the following manner: ‘We came hither for one result; and we see another; we arrived in company with Counts, and the trial is proceeding without them. We are certainly condemned. You all know the orders that have been given. Athanasius and his fellows have the reports of the proceedings in the Mareotis¹⁵⁶³, by which he is cleared, and we are covered with disgrace. Why then do we delay? why are we so slow? Let us invent some excuse and be gone, or we shall be condemned if we remain. It is better to suffer the shame of fleeing, than the disgrace of being convicted as false accusers. If we flee, we shall find some means of defending our heresy; and even if they condemn us for our flight, still we have the Emperor as our patron, who will not suffer the people to expel us from the Churches.’

16. Secession of the Easterns at Sardica.

Thus then they reasoned with themselves and Hosius and all the other Bishops repeatedly signified to them the alacrity of Athanasius and his fellows, saying, ‘They are ready with their defence, and pledge themselves to prove you false accusers.’ They said also, ‘If you fear the trial, why did you come to meet us? either you ought not to have come, or now that you have come, not to flee.’ When they heard this, being still more alarmed, they had recourse to an excuse even more unseemly than that they pretended at Antioch, viz. that they betook themselves to flight because

¹⁵⁶⁰ Below, §18.

¹⁵⁶¹ [Cf. §21, note 5.]

¹⁵⁶² The word Palatium sometimes stands for the space or limits set apart in cities for the Emperor, *Cod. Theod.* XV. i. 47.

sometimes for the buildings upon it, *ibid.* VII. x. 2, which were one of the four public works mentioned in the Laws. *ibid.* XV. i. 35. and 36. None but great officers of state were admitted into it. XV. i. 47. Even the judges might not lodge in it, except there was no Praetorium, VII. x. 2. *Gothofr.* in VII. x. 1 enumerates (with references) the Palatia in Antioch, Daphne, Constantinople, Heraclea, Milan, Treves, &c. It was a great mark then of imperial favour that the Eastern bishops were accommodated in the Palatium at Sardica.

¹⁵⁶³ *Apol. Ar.* §83, &c.

the Emperor had written to them the news of his victory over the Persians. And this excuse they were not ashamed to send by Eustathius a Presbyter of the Sardican Church. But even thus their flight did not succeed according to their wishes; for immediately the holy Council, of which the great Hosius was president, wrote to them plainly, saying, ‘Either come forward and answer the charges which are brought against you, for the false accusations which you have made against others, or know that the Council will condemn you as guilty, and declare Athanasius and his fellows free and clear from all blame.’ Whereupon they were rather impelled to flight by the alarms of conscience, than to compliance with the proposals of the letter; for when they saw those who had been injured by them, they did not even turn their faces to listen to their words, but fled with greater speed.

17. Proceedings of the Council of Sardica.

Under these disgraceful and unseemly circumstances their flight took place. And the holy Council, which had been assembled out of more than five and thirty provinces, perceiving the malice of the Arians, admitted Athanasius and his fellows to answer to the charges which the others had brought against them, and to declare the sufferings which they had undergone. And when they had thus made their defence, as we said before, they approved and so highly admired their conduct that they gladly embraced their communion, and wrote letters to all quarters, to the diocese of each, and especially to Alexandria and Egypt, and the Libyas, declaring Athanasius and his friends to be innocent, and free from all blame, and their opponents to be calumniators, evil-doers, and everything rather than Christians. Accordingly they dismissed them in peace; but deposed Stephanus and Menophantus, Acacius and George of Laodicea, Ursacius and Valens, Theodorus and Narcissus. For against Gregory, who had been sent to Alexandria by the Emperor, they put forth a proclamation to the effect that he had never been made a Bishop, and that he ought not to be called a Christian. They therefore declared the ordinations which he professed to have conferred to be void, and commanded that they should not be even named in the Church, on account of their novel and illegal nature. Thus Athanasius and his friends were dismissed in peace (the letters concerning them are inserted at the end on account of their length¹⁵⁶⁴), and the Council was dissolved.

18. Arian Persecution after Sardica.

But the deposed persons, who ought now to have remained quiet, with those who had separated after so disgraceful a flight, were guilty of such conduct, that their former proceedings appear trifling in comparison of these. For when the people of Adrianople would not have communion with them, as men who had fled from the Council, and had proved culprits, they carried their complaints to the Emperor Constantius, and succeeded in causing ten of the laity to be beheaded,

¹⁵⁶⁴ Not found there, but in *Apol. contr. Ar.* §§37, foll.

belonging to the Manufactory of arms¹⁵⁶⁵ there, Philagrius, who was there again as Count, assisting their designs in this matter also. The tombs of these persons, which we have seen in passing¹⁵⁶⁶ by, are in front of the city. Then as if they had been quite successful, because they had fled lest they should be convicted of false accusation, they prevailed with the Emperor to command whatsoever they wished to be done. Thus they caused two Presbyters and three Deacons to be banished from Alexandria into Armenia. As to Arius and Asterius, the one Bishop of Petrae¹⁵⁶⁷ in Palestine, the other Bishop in Arabia, who had withdrawn from their party, they not only banished into upper Libya, but also caused them to be treated with insult.

19. Tyrannical measures against the Alexandrians.

And as to Lucius¹⁵⁶⁸, Bishop of Adrianople, when they saw that he used great boldness of speech against them, and exposed their impiety, they again, as they had done before, caused him to be bound with iron chains on the neck and hands, and so drove him into banishment, where he died, as they know. And Diodorus a Bishop¹⁵⁶⁹ they remove; but against Olympius of Æni, and Theodulus of Trajanople¹⁵⁷⁰, both Bishops of Thrace, good and orthodox men, when they perceived their hatred of the heresy, they brought false charges. This Eusebius and his fellows had done first of all, and the Emperor Constantius wrote letters on the subject; and next these men¹⁵⁷¹ revived the accusation. The purport of the letter was, that they should not only be expelled from their cities and churches, but should also suffer capital punishment, wherever they were discovered. However surprising this conduct may be, it is only in accordance with their principles; for as being instructed by Eusebius and his fellows in such proceedings, and as heirs of their impiety and evil principles, they wished to shew themselves formidable at Alexandria, as their fathers had done in Thrace. They caused an order to be written, that the ports and gates of the cities should be watched, lest availing themselves of the permission granted by the Council, the banished persons should return to their churches. They also cause orders to be sent to the magistrates at Alexandria, respecting Athanasius and certain Presbyters, named therein, that if either the Bishop¹⁵⁷², or any of the others, should be found coming to the city or its borders, the magistrate should have power to behead those who were so discovered. Thus this new Jewish heresy does not only deny the Lord, but has also learnt to commit murder.

¹⁵⁶⁵ De Fabricis, vid. Gothofr. in *Cod. Theod.* x. 21.

¹⁵⁶⁶ [Apparently on his way from Treveri (see 21, n. 3) back to Alexandria in 346.]

¹⁵⁶⁷ [See pp. 148, 128 note, and *infr.*, *Tom. ad Ant.* §8. In the text Petrae is wrongly placed in Palestine. The slip is one of many in this tract; see Introd. above.]

¹⁵⁶⁸ *Apol. Ar.* 45, *Apol. Fug.* 3.

¹⁵⁶⁹ Of Tenedos, vid. *Apol. Ar.* 50, *supr.* §5.

¹⁵⁷⁰ *Apol. Ar.* 45, note 2.

¹⁵⁷¹ Acacius, &c.

¹⁵⁷² This accounts for Ath.'s caution, *Apol. Ar.* 51, and below §21.

20. Plot against the Catholic Legates at Antioch.

Yet even after this they did not rest; but as the father of their heresy goeth about like a lion, seeking whom he may devour, so these obtaining the use of the public posts¹⁵⁷³ went about, and whenever they found any that reproached them with their flight, and that hated the Arian heresy, they scourged them, cast them into chains, and caused them to be banished from their country; and they rendered themselves so formidable, as to induce many to dissemble, many to fly into the deserts, rather than willingly even to have any dealings with them. Such were the enormities which their madness prompted them to commit after their flight. Moreover they perpetrate another outrageous act, which is indeed in accordance with the character of their heresy, but is such as we never heard of before, nor is likely soon to take place again, even among the more dissolute of the Gentiles, much less among Christians. The holy Council had sent as Legates the Bishops Vincentius¹⁵⁷⁴ of Capua (this is the Metropolis of Campania), and Euphrates of Agrippina¹⁵⁷⁵ (this is the Metropolis of Upper Gaul), that they might obtain the Emperor's consent to the decision of the Council, that the Bishops should return to their Churches, inasmuch as he was the author of their expulsion. The most religious Constans had also written to his brother¹⁵⁷⁶, and supported the cause of the Bishops. But these admirable men, who are equal to any act of audacity, when they saw the two Legates at Antioch, consulted together and formed a plot, which Stephanus¹⁵⁷⁷ undertook by himself to execute, as being a suitable instrument for such purposes. Accordingly they hire a common harlot, even at the season of the most holy Easter, and stripping her introduce her by night into the apartment of the Bishop Euphrates. The harlot who thought that it was a young man who had sent to invite her, at first willingly accompanied them; but when they thrust her in, and she saw the man asleep and unconscious of what was going on, and when presently she distinguished his features, and beheld the face of an old man, and the array of a Bishop, she immediately cried aloud, and declared that violence was used towards her. They desired her to be silent, and to lay a false charge against the Bishop; and so when it was day, the matter was noised abroad, and all the city ran together; and those who came from the Palace were in great commotion, wondering at the report which had been spread abroad, and demanding that it should not be passed by in silence. An enquiry, therefore, was made, and her master gave information concerning those who came to fetch the harlot, and these informed against Stephanus; for they were his Clergy. Stephanus, therefore, is deposed¹⁵⁷⁸, and Leontius the eunuch appointed in his place, only that the Arian heresy may not want a supporter.

¹⁵⁷³ *Apol. Ar.* 70, note 5.

¹⁵⁷⁴ *Ap. Const.* 3, note 3.

¹⁵⁷⁵ Cologne.

¹⁵⁷⁶ Infr. §50.

¹⁵⁷⁷ Bishop of Antioch, cf. §4, above.

¹⁵⁷⁸ [Between Easter and Midsummer 344.]

21. Constantius' change of mind.

And now the Emperor Constantius, feeling some compunctions, returned to himself; and concluding from their conduct towards Euphrates, that their attacks upon the others were of the same kind, he gives orders that the Presbyters and Deacons who had been banished from Alexandria into Armenia should immediately be released. He also writes publicly to Alexandria¹⁵⁷⁹, commanding that the clergy and laity who were friends of Athanasius should suffer no further persecution. And when Gregory died about ten months¹⁵⁸⁰ after, he sends for Athanasius with every mark of honour, writing to him no less than three times a very friendly letter¹⁵⁸¹ in which he exhorted him to take courage and come. He sends also a Presbyter and a Deacon, that he may be still further encouraged to return; for he thought that, through alarm at what had taken place before, I¹⁵⁸² did not care to return. Moreover he writes to his brother Constans, that he also would exhort me to return. And he affirmed that he had been expecting Athanasius a whole year, and that he would not permit any change to be made, or any ordination to take place, as he was preserving the Churches for Athanasius their Bishop.

22. Athanasius visits Constantius.

When therefore he wrote in this strain, and encouraged him by means of many (for he caused Polemius, Datianus, Bardion, Thalassus¹⁵⁸³, Taurus¹⁵⁸⁴, and Florentius, his Counts, in whom Athanasius could best confide, to write also): Athanasius committing the whole matter to God, who had stirred the conscience of Constantius to do this, came with his friends to him; and he gave him a favourable audience¹⁵⁸⁵, and sent him away to go to his country and his Churches, writing at the same time to the magistrates in the several places, that whereas he had before commanded the ways to be guarded, they should now grant him a free passage. Then when the Bishop complained of the sufferings he had undergone, and of the letters which the Emperor had written against him, and besought him that the false accusations against him might not be revived by his enemies after his

¹⁵⁷⁹ [Probably about August 344.]

¹⁵⁸⁰ [June 26, 345. Athanasius received some at least of the letters at Aquileia, where he spent Easter, 345 (*Apol. Ar.* 51, *Fest. Ind.* xvii.). He then went to see Constans at Treveri, apparently in May, 346 (*Apol. Const.* 4, Gwatkin, Stud. 127, n.). This compels us to assume that the first invitation to Ath. to return must have been wrung (*infr.* 49, 50) from Constantius before the death of Gregory. The statement in the text is therefore so far inexact, but the lung illness of Gregory must have made his death a matter of daily expectation, cf. Prolegg. ch. ii. §6 (3) fin.]

¹⁵⁸¹ *Apol. Ar.* 51.

¹⁵⁸² [Here for once Ath. speaks in the first person, cf. §§15, 26, 64, 69, and 51, note 2a.]

¹⁵⁸³ *Apol. Const.* 3.

¹⁵⁸⁴ At Ariminum.

¹⁵⁸⁵ *Apol. Ar.* 54; *Apol. Const.* 5.

departure, saying¹⁵⁸⁶, ‘If you please, summon these persons; for as far as we are concerned they are at liberty to stand forth, and we will expose their conduct,’ he would not do this, but commanded that whatever had been before slanderously written against him should all be destroyed and obliterated, affirming that he would never again listen to any such accusations, and that his purpose was fixed and unalterable. This he did not simply say, but sealed his words with oaths, calling upon God to be witness of them. And so encouraging him with many other words, and desiring him to be of good courage, he sends the following letters to the Bishops and Magistrates.

23. Constantius Augustus, the Great, the Conqueror, to the Bishops and Clergy of the Catholic Church.

The most Reverend Athanasius has not been deserted by the grace of God¹⁵⁸⁷, &c.

Another Letter.

From Constantius to the people of Alexandria.

Desiring as we do your welfare in all respects¹⁵⁸⁸, &c.

Another Letter.

Constantius Augustus, the Conqueror, to Nestorius, Prefect of Egypt.

It is well known that an order was heretofore given by us, and that certain documents are to be found prejudicial to the estimation of the most reverend Bishop Athanasius; and that these exist among the Orders¹⁵⁸⁹ of your worship. Now we desire your Sobriety, of which we have good proof, to transmit to our Court, in compliance with this our order, all the letters respecting the fore-mentioned person, which are found in your Order-book.

24. The following is the letter which he wrote after the death of the blessed Constans. It was written in Latin, and is here translated into Greek¹⁵⁹⁰.

Constantius Augustus, the Conqueror, to Athanasius.

It is not unknown to your Prudence, that it was my constant prayer, that prosperity might attend my late brother Constans in all his undertakings; and your wisdom may therefore imagine how greatly I was afflicted when I learnt that he had been taken off by most unhallowed hands. Now whereas there are certain persons who at the present truly mournful time are endeavouring to alarm you, I have therefore thought it right to address this letter to your Constancy, to exhort you that, as

¹⁵⁸⁶ Below, §44.

¹⁵⁸⁷ Vid. *Apol. contr. Arian.* §54.

¹⁵⁸⁸ Ib. §55.

¹⁵⁸⁹ Or *Acta Publica*, vid. *supr. Ap. Ar.* 56.

¹⁵⁹⁰ Another translation, *Apol. Const.* 23.

becomes a Bishop, you would teach the people those things which pertain to the divine religion, and that, as you are accustomed to do, you would employ your time in prayers together with them, and not give credit to vain rumours, whatever they may be. For our fixed determination is, that you should continue, agreeably to our desire, to perform the office of a Bishop in your own place. May Divine Providence preserve you, most beloved parent, many years.

25. Return of Athanasius from second exile.

Under these circumstances, when they had at length taken their leave, and begun their journey, those who were friendly rejoiced to see a friend; but of the other party, some were confounded at the sight of him; others not having the confidence to appear, hid themselves; and others repented of what they had written against the Bishop. Thus all the Bishops of Palestine¹⁵⁹¹, except some two or three, and those men of suspected character, so willingly received Athanasius, and embraced communion with him, that they wrote to excuse themselves, on the ground that in what they had formerly written, they had acted, not according to their own wishes, but by compulsion. Of the Bishops of Egypt and the Libyan provinces, of the laity both of those countries and of Alexandria, it is superfluous for me to speak. They all ran¹⁵⁹² together, and were possessed with unspeakable delight, that they had not only received their friends alive contrary to their hopes; but that they were also delivered from the heretics who were as tyrants and as raging dogs towards them. Accordingly great was their joy¹⁵⁹³, the people in the congregations encouraging one another in virtue. How many unmarried women, who were before ready to enter upon marriage, now remained virgins to Christ! How many young men, seeing the examples of others, embraced the monastic life! How many fathers persuaded their children, and how many were urged by their children, not to be hindered from Christian asceticism! How many wives persuaded their husbands, and how many were persuaded by their husbands, to give themselves to prayer¹⁵⁹⁴, as the Apostle has spoken! How many widows and how many orphans, who were before hungry and naked, now through the great zeal of the people, were no longer hungry, and went forth clothed! In a word, so great was their emulation in virtue, that you would have thought every family and every house a Church, by reason of the goodness of its inmates, and the prayers which were offered to God. And in the Churches there was a profound and wonderful peace, while the Bishops wrote from all quarters, and received from Athanasius the customary letters of peace.

26. Recantation of Ursacius and Valens.

¹⁵⁹¹ *Apol. Ar.* 57.

¹⁵⁹² Oct. 21, 346.

¹⁵⁹³ *Apol. Ar.* 53.

¹⁵⁹⁴ 1 Cor. vii. 5.

Moreover Ursacius and Valens, as if suffering the scourge of conscience, came to another mind, and wrote to the Bishop himself a friendly and peaceable letter¹⁵⁹⁵, although they had received no communication from him. And going up to Rome they repented, and confessed that all their proceedings and assertions against him were founded in falsehood and mere calumny. And they not only voluntarily did this, but also anathematized the Arian heresy, and presented a written declaration of their repentance, addressing to the Bishop Julius the following letter in Latin, which has been translated into Greek. The copy was sent to us in Latin by Paul¹⁵⁹⁶, Bishop of Treveri.

Translation from the Latin.

Ursacius and Valens to my Lord the most blessed Pope Julius.

Whereas it is well known that we¹⁵⁹⁷, &c.

Translation from the Latin.

The Bishops Ursacius and Valens to my Lord and Brother, the Bishop Athanasius.

Having an opportunity of sending¹⁵⁹⁸, &c.

279

After writing these, they also subscribed the letters of peace which were presented to them by Peter and Irenæus, Presbyters of Athanasius, and by Ammonius a layman, who were passing that way, although Athanasius had sent no communication to them even by these persons.

27. *Triumph of Athanasius.*

Now who was not filled with admiration at witnessing these things, and the great peace that prevailed in the Churches? who did not rejoice to see the concord of so many Bishops? who did not glorify the Lord, beholding the delight of the people in their assemblies? How many enemies repented! How many excused themselves who had formerly accused him falsely! How many who formerly hated him, now shewed affection for him! How many of those who had written against him, recanted their assertions? Many also who had sided with the Arians, not through choice but by necessity, came by night and excused themselves. They anathematized the heresy, and besought him to pardon them, because, although through the plots and calumnies of these men they appeared bodily on their side, yet in their hearts they held communion with Athanasius, and were always with him. Believe me, this is true.

¹⁵⁹⁵ *Apol. Ar.* 58 [a.d. 347].

¹⁵⁹⁶ Paulinus, supr. pp. 130, 227.

¹⁵⁹⁷ Vid. *Apol. contr. Ar.* §58.

¹⁵⁹⁸ Ibid.

Part IV.

Second Arian Persecution under Constantius

28. But the inheritors of the opinions and impiety of Eusebius and his fellows, the eunuch Leontius¹⁵⁹⁹, who ought not to remain in communion even as a layman¹⁶⁰⁰, because he mutilated himself that he might henceforward be at liberty to sleep with one Eustolium, who is a wife as far as he is concerned, but is called a virgin; and George and Acacius, and Theodorus, and Narcissus, who are deposed by the Council; when they heard and saw these things, were greatly ashamed. And when they perceived the unanimity and peace that existed between Athanasius and the Bishops (they were more than four hundred¹⁶⁰¹, from great Rome, and all Italy, from Calabria, Apulia, Campania, Bruttia, Sicily, Sardinia, Corsica, and the whole of Africa; and those from Gaul, Britain, and Spain, with the great Confessor Hosius; and also those from Pannonia, Noricum, Siscia, Dalmatia, Dardania, Dacia, Mœsia, Macedonia, Thessaly, and all Achaia, and from Crete, Cyprus, and Lycia, with most of those from Palestine, Isauria, Egypt, the Thebais, the whole of Libya, and Pentapolis); when I say they perceived these things, they were possessed with envy and fear; with envy, on account of the communion of so many together; and with fear, lest those who had been entrapped by them should be brought over by the unanimity of so great a number, and henceforth their heresy should be triumphantly exposed, and everywhere proscribed.

29. *Relapse of Ursacius and Valens.*

First of all they persuade Ursacius, Valens and their fellows to change sides again, and like dogs¹⁶⁰² to return to their own vomit, and like swine to wallow again in the former mire of their impiety; and they make this excuse for their retraction, that they did it through fear of the most religious Constans. And yet even had there been cause for fear, yet if they had confidence in what they had done, they ought not to have become traitors to their friends. But when there was no cause for fear, and yet they were guilty of a lie, are they not deserving of utter condemnation? For no soldier was present, no Palatine or Notary¹⁶⁰³ had been sent, as they now send them, nor yet was

¹⁵⁹⁹ On the συνείσακται, vid. [D.C.A. 1939 sqq. Bright, *Notes on Canons*, p. 839], Mosheim *de Rebus Ante Const.* p. 599, Routh, *Reliqu. Sacr.* t. 2. p. 606. t. 3. p. 445. Basnag. *Diss.* vii. 19. in *Ann. Eccles.* t. 2. Muratori, *Anecdot. Græc.* p. 218. Dodwell, *Dissert. Cyprian.* iii. Bevereg. in *Can. Nic.* 3. Suicer. *Thesaur. in voc.* &c. &c. It is conjectured by Beveridge, Dodwell, Van Espen, &c., that Leontius gave occasion to the first Canon of the Nicene Council, περὶ τῶν τολμῶντων ἔαντοὺς ἐκτέμνειν

¹⁶⁰⁰ Can. *Ap.* 17. but vid. Morin. *de Pæn.* p. 185.

¹⁶⁰¹ After Sardica, vid. *Apol. Ar.* 50, note 10.

¹⁶⁰² [351 a.d.] Cf. 2 Pet. ii. 22.

¹⁶⁰³ *Apol. Const.* 19.

the Emperor there, nor had they been invited by any one, when they wrote their recantation. But they voluntarily went up to Rome, and of their own accord recanted and wrote it down in the Church, where there was no fear from without, where the only fear is the fear of God, and where every one has liberty of conscience. And yet although they have a second time become Arians, and then have devised this unseemly excuse for their conduct, they are still without shame.

30. *Constantius changes sides again.*

In the next place they went in a body to the Emperor Constantius, and besought him, saying, ‘When we first made our request to you, we were not believed; for we told you, when you sent for Athanasius, that by inviting him to come forward, you are expelling our heresy. For he has been opposed to it from the very first, and never ceases to anathematize it. He has already written letters against us into all parts of the world, and the majority of men have embraced communion with him; and even of those who seemed to be on our side, some have been gained over by him, and others are likely to be. And we are left alone, so that the fear is, lest the character of our heresy become known, and henceforth both we and you gain the name of heretics. And if this come to pass, you must take care that we be not classed with the Manichæans. Therefore begin again to persecute, and support the heresy, for it accounts you its king.’ Such was the language of their iniquity. And the Emperor, when in his passage through the country on his hasty march against Magnentius¹⁶⁰⁴, he saw the communion of the Bishops with Athanasius, like one set on fire, suddenly changed his mind, and no longer remembered his oaths, but was alike forgetful of what he had written and regardless of the duty he owed his brother. For in his letters to him, as well as in his interview with Athanasius, he took oaths that he would not act otherwise than as the people should wish, and as should be agreeable to the Bishops. But his zeal for impiety caused him at once to forget all these things. And yet one ought not to wonder that after so many letters and so many oaths Constantius had altered his mind, when we remember that Pharaoh of old, the tyrant of Egypt, after frequently promising and by that means obtaining a remission of his punishments, likewise changed, until he at last perished together with his associates.

31. *Constantius begins to persecute.*

He compelled then the people in every city to change their party; and on arriving at Arles and Milan¹⁶⁰⁵, he proceeded to act entirely in accordance with the designs and suggestions of the heretics; or rather they acted themselves, and receiving authority from him, furiously attacked every one. Letters and orders were immediately sent hither to the Prefect, that for the future the corn should be taken from Athanasius and given to those who favoured the Arian doctrines, and that whoever pleased might freely insult them that held communion with him; and the magistrates were threatened

¹⁶⁰⁴ [351 a.d.]

¹⁶⁰⁵ [353 and 355.]

if they did not hold communion with the Arians. These things were but the prelude to what afterwards took place under the direction of the Duke Syrianus. Orders were sent also to the more distant parts, and Notaries despatched to every city, and Palatines, with threats to the Bishops and Magistrates, directing the Magistrates to urge on the Bishops, and informing the Bishops that either they must subscribe against Athanasius, and hold communion with the Arians, or themselves undergo the punishment of exile, while the people who took part with them were to understand that chains, and insults, and scourgings, and the loss of their possessions, would be their portion. These orders were not neglected, for the commissioners had in their company the Clergy of Ursacius and Valens, to inspire them with zeal, and to inform the Emperor if the Magistrates neglected their duty. The other heresies, as younger sisters of their own¹⁶⁰⁶, they permitted to blaspheme the Lord, and only conspired against the Christians, not enduring to hear orthodox language concerning Christ. How many Bishops in consequence, according to the words of Scripture, were brought before rulers and kings¹⁶⁰⁷, and received this sentence from magistrates, ‘Subscribe, or withdraw from your churches, for the Emperor has commanded you to be deposed!’ How many in every city were roughly handled, lest they should accuse them as friends of the Bishops! Moreover letters were sent to the city authorities, and a threat of a fine was held out to them, if they did not compel the Bishops of their respective cities to subscribe. In short, every place and every city was full of fear and confusion, while the Bishops were dragged along to trial, and the magistrates witnessed the lamentations and groans of the people.

32. Persecution by Constantius.

Such were the proceedings of the Palatine commissioners; on the other hand, those admirable persons, confident in the patronage which they had obtained, display great zeal, and cause some of the Bishops to be summoned before the Emperor, while they persecute others by letters, inventing charges against them; to the intent that the one might be overawed by the presence of Constantius, and the other, through fear of the commissioners and the threats held out to them in these pretended accusations, might be brought to renounce their orthodox and pious opinions. In this manner it was that the Emperor forced so great a multitude of Bishops, partly by threats, and partly by promises, to declare, ‘We will no longer hold communion with Athanasius.’ For those who came for an interview, were not admitted to his presence, nor allowed any relaxation, not so much as to go out of their dwellings, until they had either subscribed, or refused and incurred banishment thereupon. And this he did because he saw that the heresy was hateful to all men. For this reason especially he compelled so many to add their names to the small number¹⁶⁰⁸ of the Arians, his earnest desire

¹⁶⁰⁶ *De Syn.* 12, note 11.

¹⁶⁰⁷ Mark xiii. 9.

¹⁶⁰⁸ Cf. *de Syn.* 5, note, and above *Ep. Aeg.* 7.

281

being to collect together a crowd of names, both from envy of the Bishop, and for the sake of making a shew in favour of the Arian impiety, of which he is the patron; supposing that he will be able to alter the truth, as easily as he can influence the minds of men. He knows not, nor has ever read, how that the Sadducees and the Herodians, taking unto them the Pharisees, were not able to obscure the truth; rather it shines out thereby more brightly every day, while they crying out, ‘We have no king but Cæsar¹⁶⁰⁹,’ and obtaining the judgment of Pilate in their favour, are nevertheless left destitute, and wait in utter shame, expecting shortly¹⁶¹⁰ to become bereft, like the partridge¹⁶¹¹, when they shall see their patron near his death.

33. *Persecution is from the Devil.*

Now if it was altogether unseemly in any of the Bishops to change their opinions merely from fear of these things, yet it was much more so, and not the part of men who have confidence in what they believe, to force and compel the unwilling. In this manner it is that the Devil, when he has no truth on his side¹⁶¹², attacks and breaks down the doors of them that admit him with axes and hammers¹⁶¹³. But our Saviour is so gentle that He teaches thus, ‘If any man wills to come after Me,’ and, ‘Whoever wills to be My disciple¹⁶¹⁴,’ and coming to each He does not force them, but knocks at the door and says, ‘Open unto Me, My sister, My spouse¹⁶¹⁵;’ and if they open to Him, He enters in, but if they delay and will not, He departs from them. For the truth is not preached with swords or with darts, nor by means of soldiers; but by persuasion and counsel. But what persuasion is there where fear of the Emperor prevails? or what counsel is there, when he who withstands them receives at last banishment and death? Even David, although he was a king, and had his enemy in his power, prevented not the soldiers by an exercise of authority when they wished to kill his enemy, but, as the Scripture says, David persuaded his men by arguments, and suffered them not to rise up and put Saul to death¹⁶¹⁶. But he, being without arguments of reason, forces all men by his power, that it may be shewn to all, that their wisdom is not according to God, but merely human, and that they who favour the Arian doctrines have indeed no king but Cæsar; for by his means it is that these enemies of Christ accomplish whatsoever they wish to do. But while they thought that they were carrying on their designs against many by his means, they knew not that they were making many

¹⁶⁰⁹ John xix. 15, and *Orat.* i. 8, note.

¹⁶¹⁰ ὅσον οὐδέπω, above, 13; Const. died Nov. 3, 361 aged 45.

¹⁶¹¹ Jer. xvii. 11, LXX.

¹⁶¹² Vid. note on §67 [and Bright, *Hist. Writings of Ath.* p. lxviii. note 9].

¹⁶¹³ Vid. Ps. lxxiv. 6

¹⁶¹⁴ Matt. xvi. 24.

¹⁶¹⁵ Cant. v. 2.

¹⁶¹⁶ 1 Sam. xxvi. 9.

to be confessors, of whom are those who have lately¹⁶¹⁷ made so glorious a confession, religious men, and excellent Bishops, Paulinus¹⁶¹⁸ Bishop of Treveri, the metropolis of the Gauls, Lucifer, Bishop of the metropolis of Sardinia, Eusebius of Vercelli in Italy, and Dionysius of Milan, which is the metropolis of Italy. These the Emperor summoned before him, and commanded them to subscribe against Athanasius, and to hold communion with the heretics; and when they were astonished at this novel procedure, and said that there was no Ecclesiastical Canon to this effect, he immediately said, ‘Whatever I will, be that esteemed a Canon; the “Bishops” of Syria let me thus speak. Either then obey, or go into banishment.’

34. Banishment of the Western Bishops spread the knowledge of the truth.

When the Bishops heard this they were utterly amazed, and stretching forth their hands to God, they used great boldness of speech against him teaching him that the kingdom was not his, but God’s, who had given it to him, Whom also they bid him fear, lest He should suddenly take it away from him. And they threatened him with the day of judgment, and warned him against infringing Ecclesiastical order, and mingling Roman sovereignty with the constitution¹⁶¹⁹ of the Church, and against introducing the Arian heresy into the Church of God. But he would not listen to them, nor permit them to speak further, but threatened them so much the more, and drew his sword against them, and gave orders for some of them to be led to execution; although afterwards, like Pharaoh, he repented. The holy men therefore shaking off the dust, and looking up to God, neither feared the threats of the Emperor, nor betrayed their cause before his drawn sword; but received their banishment, as a service pertaining to their ministry. And as they passed along, they preached the Gospel in every place and city¹⁶²⁰, although they were in bonds, proclaiming the orthodox faith, anathematizing the Arian heresy, and stigmatizing the recantation of Ursacius and Valens. But this was contrary to the intention of their enemies; for the greater was the distance of their place of banishment, so much the more was the hatred against them increased, while the wanderings of these men were but the heralding of their impiety. For who that saw them as they passed along, did not greatly admire them as Confessors, and renounce and abominate the others, calling them not only impious men, but executioners and murderers, and everything rather than Christians?

¹⁶¹⁷ *Apol. Const.* 27; *Apol. Fug.* 4, and below, §76.

¹⁶¹⁸ §26, and references there.

¹⁶¹⁹ διαταγῆ, cf. §36.

¹⁶²⁰ Infr. §40, vid. *Acts* viii. 4; *Phil.* i. 13.

Part V.

Persecution and Lapse of Liberius

35. Now it had been better if from the first Constantius had never become connected with this heresy at all; or being connected with it, if he had not yielded so much to those impious men; or having yielded to them, if he had stood by them only thus far, so that judgment might come upon them all for these atrocities alone. But as it would seem, like madmen, having fixed themselves in the bonds of impiety, they are drawing down upon their own heads a more severe judgment. Thus from the first¹⁶²¹ they spared not even Liberius, Bishop of Rome, but extended¹⁶²² their fury even to those parts; they respected not his bishopric, because it was an Apostolical throne; they felt no reverence for Rome, because she is the Metropolis of Romania¹⁶²³; they remembered not that formerly in their letters they had spoken of her Bishops as Apostolical men. But confounding all things together, they at once forgot everything, and cared only to shew their zeal in behalf of impiety. When they perceived that he was an orthodox man and hated the Arian heresy, and earnestly endeavoured to persuade all persons to renounce and withdraw from it, these impious men reasoned thus with themselves: ‘If we can persuade Liberius, we shall soon prevail over all.’ Accordingly they accused him falsely before the Emperor; and he, expecting easily to draw over all men to his side by means of Liberius, writes to him, and sends a certain eunuch called Eusebius with letters and offerings, to cajole him with the presents, and to threaten him with the letters. The eunuch accordingly went to Rome, and first proposed to Liberius to subscribe against Athanasius, and to hold communion with the Arians, saying, ‘The Emperor wishes it, and commands you to do so.’ And then shewing him the offerings, he took him by the hand, and again besought him saying, ‘Obey the Emperor, and receive these.’

36. The Eunuch Eusebius attempts Liberius in vain.

But the Bishop endeavoured to convince him, reasoning with him thus: ‘How is it possible for me to do this against Athanasius? how can we condemn a man, whom not one¹⁶²⁴ Council only, but

¹⁶²¹ In contrast to date of his fall.

¹⁶²² τὴν μανίαν ἔξετειναν; vid. ἔκτεῖναι τὴν μανίαν, §42. And so in the letter of the Council of Chalcedon to Pope Leo; which says that Dioscorus, κατ’ αὐτοῦ τῆς ἀμπέλου τὴν φυλακήν παρὰ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἐπιτετραμμένου τὴν μανίαν ἔξετεινε, λέγομεν δὴ τῆς σῆς δσιότητος. Hard. *Conc.* t. 2. p. 656. [Cf. Prolegg. ch. iv. §4.]

¹⁶²³ By Romania is meant the Roman Empire, according to Montfaucon after Nannius. vid. Præfat. xxxiv. xxxv. And so Epiph. *Hær.* lxvi, 1 fin, p. 618, and lxviii. 2 init. p. 728, Nil. *Ep. i.* 75. vid. Du Cange *Gloss. Græc. in voc.*

¹⁶²⁴ At Alexandria.

a second¹⁶²⁵ assembled from all parts of the world, has fairly acquitted, and whom the Church of the Romans dismissed in peace? who will approve of our conduct, if we reject in his absence one, whose presence¹⁶²⁶ amongst us we gladly welcomed, and admitted him to our communion? This is no Ecclesiastical Canon; nor have we had transmitted to us any such tradition¹⁶²⁷ from the Fathers, who in their turn received from the great and blessed Apostle Peter¹⁶²⁸. But if the Emperor is really concerned for the peace of the Church, if he requires our letters respecting Athanasius to be reversed, let their proceedings both against him and against all the others be reversed also; and then let an Ecclesiastical Council be called at a distance from the Court, at which the Emperor shall not be present, nor any Count be admitted, nor magistrate to threaten us, but where only the fear of God and the Apostolical rule¹⁶²⁹ shall prevail; that so in the first place, the faith of the Church may be secure, as the Fathers defined it in the Council of Nicæa, and the supporters of the Arian doctrines may be cast out, and their heresy anathematized. And then after that, an enquiry being made into the charges brought against Athanasius, and any other besides, as well as into those things of which the other party is accused, let the culprits be cast out, and the innocent receive encouragement and support. For it is impossible that they who maintain an impious creed can be admitted as members of a Council: nor is it fit that an enquiry into matters of conduct should precede the enquiry concerning the faith¹⁶³⁰; but all diversity of opinions on points of faith ought first to be eradicated, and then the enquiry made into matters of conduct. Our Lord Jesus Christ did not heal them that were afflicted, until they shewed and declared what faith they had in Him. These things we have received from the Fathers; these report to the Emperor; for they are both profitable for him and edifying to the Church. But let not Ursacius and Valens be listened to, for they have retracted their former assertions, and in what they now say they are not to be trusted.'

37. Liberius refuses the Emperor's offering.

283

These were the words of the Bishop Liberius. And the eunuch, who was vexed, not so much because he would not subscribe, as because he found him an enemy to the heresy, forgetting that he was in the presence of a Bishop, after threatening him severely, went away with the offerings; and next commits an offence, which is foreign to a Christian, and too audacious for a eunuch. In imitation of the transgression of Saul, he went to the Martyr¹⁶³¹ of the Apostle Peter, and then presented the offerings. But Liberius having notice of it, was very angry with the person who kept

¹⁶²⁵ At Sardica.

¹⁶²⁶ Vid. *Apol. Ar.* 29.

¹⁶²⁷ παράδοσις, vid. §14.

¹⁶²⁸ *Apol. Ar.* §35.

¹⁶²⁹ τῶν ἀποστόλων διάταξις, cf. §34.

¹⁶³⁰ Vid. Pallavicin. *Conc. Trid.* vi. 7. Sarpi. *Hist.* ii. 37.

¹⁶³¹ [1 Sam. xiii. 9. cf. D.C.A. 1132, s.v. Martyrium.]

the place, that he had not prevented him, and cast out the offerings as an unlawful sacrifice, which increased the anger of the mutilated creature against him. Consequently he exasperates the Emperor against him, saying, ‘The matter that concerns us is no longer the obtaining the subscription of Liberius, but the fact that he is so resolutely opposed to the heresy, that he anathematizes the Arians by name.’ He also stirs up the other eunuchs to say the same; for many of those who were about Constantius, or rather the whole number of them, are eunuchs¹⁶³², who engross all the influence with him, and it is impossible to do anything there without them. The Emperor accordingly writes to Rome, and again Palatines, and Notaries, and Counts are sent off with letters to the Prefect, in order that either they may inveigle Liberius by stratagem away from Rome and send him to the Court to him, or else persecute him by violence.

38. The evil influence of Eunuchs at Court.

Such being the tenor of the letters, there also fear and treachery forthwith became rife throughout the whole city. How many were the families against which threats were held out! How many received great promises on condition of their acting against Liberius! How many Bishops hid themselves when they saw these things! How many noble women retired to country places in consequence of the calumnies of the enemies of Christ! How many ascetics were made the objects of their plots! How many who were sojourning there, and had made that place their home, did they cause to be persecuted! How often and how strictly did they guard the harbour¹⁶³³ and the approaches to the gates, lest any orthodox person should enter and visit Liberius! Rome also had trial of the enemies of Christ, and now experienced what before she would not believe, when she heard how the other Churches in every city were ravaged by them. It was the eunuchs who instigated these proceedings against all. And the most remarkable circumstance in the matter is this; that the Arian heresy which denies the Son of God, receives its support from eunuchs, who, as both their bodies are fruitless, and their souls barren of virtue, cannot bear even to hear the name of son. The Eunuch of Ethiopia indeed, though he understood not what he read¹⁶³⁴, believed the words of Philip, when he taught him concerning the Saviour; but the eunuchs of Constantius cannot endure the confession of Peter¹⁶³⁵, nay, they turn away when the Father manifests the Son, and madly rage against those who say, that the Son of God is His genuine Son, thus claiming as a heresy of eunuchs, that there is no genuine and true offspring of the Father. On these grounds it is that the law forbids such persons to be admitted into any ecclesiastical Council¹⁶³⁶; notwithstanding which they have now regarded these as competent judges of ecclesiastical causes, and whatever seems good to them, that

¹⁶³² Vid. Gibbon, *Hist.* ch. 19 init.

¹⁶³³ Ostia, vid. Gibbon, *Hist.* ch. 31, p. 303.

¹⁶³⁴ Acts viii. 27.

¹⁶³⁵ Matt. xvi. 16, allusion to Liberius? vid. Hard. *Conc.* t. 2. p. 305 E.

¹⁶³⁶ *Can. Nic.* 1.

Constantius decrees, while men with the name of Bishops dissemble with them. Oh! who shall be their historian? who shall transmit the record of these things to another generation? who indeed would believe it, were he to hear it, that eunuchs who are scarcely entrusted with household services (for theirs is a pleasure-loving race, that has no serious concern but that of hindering in others what nature has taken from them); that these, I say, now exercise authority in ecclesiastical matters, and that Constantius in submission to their will treacherously conspired against all, and banished Liberius!

39. *Liberius's speech to Constantius.*

For after the Emperor had frequently written to Rome, had threatened, sent commissioners, devised schemes, on the persecution¹⁶³⁷ subsequently breaking out at Alexandria, Liberius is dragged before him, and uses great boldness of speech towards him. ‘Cease,’ he said, ‘to persecute the Christians; attempt not by my means to introduce impiety into the Church. We are ready to suffer anything rather than to be called Arian madmen. We are Christians; compel us not to become enemies of Christ. We also give you this counsel: fight not against Him who gave you this empire, nor shew impiety towards Him instead of thankfulness¹⁶³⁸;’ persecute not them that believe in Him, lest you also hear the words, ‘It is hard for thee to kick against the pricks¹⁶³⁹.’ Nay, I would that you might hear them, that you might obey, as the holy Paul did. Behold, here we are; we are come, before they fabricate charges. For this cause we hastened hither, knowing that banishment awaits us at your hands, that we might suffer before a charge encounters us, and that all may clearly see that all the others too have suffered as we shall suffer, and that the charges brought against them were fabrications of their enemies, and all their proceedings were mere calumny and falsehood.’

284

40. *Banishment of Liberius and others.*

These were the words of Liberius at that time, and he was admired by all men for them. But the Emperor instead of answering¹⁶⁴⁰, only gave orders for their banishment, separating each of them from the rest, as he had done in the former cases. For he had himself devised this plan in the banishments which he inflicted, that so the severity of his punishments might be greater than that of former tyrants and persecutors¹⁶⁴¹. In the former persecution Maximian, who was then Emperor, commanded a number of Confessors to be banished together¹⁶⁴², and thus lightened their punishment by the consolation which he gave them in each other’s society. But this man was more savage than

¹⁶³⁷ [356 a.d.]

¹⁶³⁸ Cf. §34.

¹⁶³⁹ Acts ix. 5.

¹⁶⁴⁰ [But see Theodoret, *Hist.* ii. 16.]

¹⁶⁴¹ Cf. infr. §60.

¹⁶⁴² §64 [a.d. 355].

he; he separated those who had spoken boldly and confessed together, he put asunder those who were united by the bond of faith, that when they came to die they might not see one another; thinking that bodily separation can disunite also the affections of the mind, and that being severed from each other, they would forget the concord and unanimity which existed among them. He knew not that however each one may remain¹⁶⁴³ apart from the rest, he has nevertheless with him that Lord, whom they confessed in one body together, who will also provide (as he did in the case of the Prophet Elisha¹⁶⁴⁴) that more shall be with each of them, than there are soldiers with Constantius. Of a truth iniquity is blind; for in that they thought to afflict the Confessors, by separating them from one another, they rather brought thereby a great injury upon themselves. For had they continued in each other's company, and abode together, the pollutions of those impious men would have been proclaimed from one place only; but now by putting them asunder, they have made their impious heresy and wickedness to spread abroad and become known in every place¹⁶⁴⁵.

41. *Lapse of Liberius.*

Who that shall hear what they did in the course of these proceedings will not think them to be anything rather than Christians? When Liberius sent Eutropius, a Presbyter, and Hilarius, a Deacon, with letters to the Emperor, at the time that Lucifer and his fellows made their confession, they banished the Presbyter on the spot, and after stripping Hilarius¹⁶⁴⁶ the Deacon and scourging him on the back, they banished him too, clamouring at him, ‘Why didst thou not resist Liberius instead of being the bearer of letters from him.’ Ursacius and Valens, with the eunuchs who sided with them, were the authors of this outrage. The Deacon, while he was being scourged, praised the Lord, remembering His words, ‘I gave My back to the smiters¹⁶⁴⁷;’ but they while they scourged him laughed and mocked him, feeling no shame that they were insulting a Levite. Indeed they acted but consistently in laughing while he continued to praise God; for it is the part of Christians to endure stripes, but to scourge Christians is the outrage of a Pilate or a Caiaphas. Thus they endeavoured at the first to corrupt the Church of the Romans, wishing to introduce impiety into it as well as others. But Liberius after he had been in banishment two years gave way, and from fear of threatened death subscribed. Yet even this only shews their violent conduct, and the hatred of Liberius against the heresy, and his support of Athanasius, so long as he was suffered to exercise a free choice. For that which men are forced by torture to do contrary to their first judgment, ought not to be considered the willing deed of those who are in fear, but rather of their tormentors. They

¹⁶⁴³ Cf. §47.

¹⁶⁴⁴ 2 Kings vi. 16.

¹⁶⁴⁵ Cf. §34.

¹⁶⁴⁶ This Hilary afterwards followed Lucifer of Calaris in his schism. He is supposed to be the author of the *Comments on S. Paul's Epistles* attributed to S. Ambrose, who goes under the name of Ambrosiaster.

¹⁶⁴⁷ Isa. l. 6.

however attempted everything in support of their heresy, while the people in every Church, preserving the faith which they had learnt, waited for the return of their teachers, and condemned the Antichristian heresy, and all avoid it, as they would a serpent.

Part VI.

Persecution and Lapse of Hosius

285 42. But although they had done all this, yet these impious men thought they had accomplished nothing, so long as the great Hosius escaped their wicked machinations. And now they undertook to extend their fury¹⁶⁴⁸ to that great old man. They felt no shame at the thought that he is the father¹⁶⁴⁹ of the Bishops; they regarded not that he had been a Confessor¹⁶⁵⁰; they reverenced not the length of his Episcopate, in which he had continued more than sixty years; but they set aside everything, and looked only to the interests of their heresy, as being of a truth such as neither fear God, nor regard man¹⁶⁵¹. Accordingly they went to Constantius, and again employed such arguments as the following: ‘We have done everything; we have banished the Bishop of the Romans; and before him a very great number of other Bishops, and have filled every place with alarm. But these strong measures of yours are as nothing to us, nor is our success at all more secure, so long as Hosius remains. While he is in his own place, the rest also continue in their Churches, for he is able by his arguments and his faith to persuade all men against us. He is the president of Councils¹⁶⁵², and his letters are everywhere attended to. He it was who put forth the Nicene Confession, and proclaimed everywhere that the Arians were heretics. If therefore he is suffered to remain, the banishment of the rest is of no avail, for our heresy will be destroyed. Begin then to persecute him also and spare him not, ancient as he is. Our heresy knows not to honour even the hoary hairs of the aged.’

43. Brave resistance of Hosius.

Upon hearing this, the Emperor no longer delayed, but knowing the man, and the dignity of his years, wrote to summon him. This was when he first¹⁶⁵³ began his attempt upon Liberius. Upon his

¹⁶⁴⁸ ἐκτεῖναι τὴν μανίαν

¹⁶⁴⁹ *Ap. Fug. 5.*

¹⁶⁵⁰ Under Maximian.

¹⁶⁵¹ Luke xviii. 2.

¹⁶⁵² Of Nicæa and Sardica (*Ap. Fug. 5.*).

¹⁶⁵³ i.e. two years before his fall.

arrival he desired him, and urged him with the usual arguments, with which he thought also to deceive the others, that he would subscribe against us, and hold communion with the Arians. But the old man, scarcely bearing to hear the words, and grieved that he had even ventured to utter such a proposal, severely rebuked him, and after gaining his consent, withdrew to his own country and Church. But the heretics still complaining, and instigating him to proceed (he had the eunuchs also to remind him and to urge him further), the Emperor again wrote in threatening terms; but still Hosius, while he endured their insults, was unmoved by any fear of their designs against him, and remaining firm to his purpose, as one who had built the house of his faith upon the rock, he spake boldly against the heresy, regarding the threats held out to him in the letters but as drops of rain and blasts of wind. And although Constantius wrote frequently, sometimes flattering him with the title of Father, and sometimes threatening and recounting the names of those who had been banished, and saying, ‘Will you continue the only person to oppose the heresy? Be persuaded and subscribe against Athanasius; for whoever subscribes against him thereby embraces with us the Arian cause;’ still Hosius remained fearless, and while suffering these insults, wrote an answer in such terms as these. We have read the letter, which is placed at the end¹⁶⁵⁴.

44. ‘Hosius to Constantius the Emperor sends health in the Lord.’

I was a Confessor at the first, when a persecution arose in the time of your grandfather Maximian; and if you shall persecute me, I am ready now, too, to endure anything rather than to shed innocent blood and to betray the truth. But I cannot approve of your conduct in writing after this threatening manner. Cease to write thus; adopt not the cause of Arius, nor listen to those in the East, nor give credit to Ursacius, Valens and their fellows. For whatever they assert, it is not on account of Athanasius, but for the sake of their own heresy. Believe my statement, O Constantius, who am of an age to be your grandfather. I was present at the Council of Sardica, when you and your brother Constans of blessed memory assembled us all together; and on my own account I challenged the enemies of Athanasius, when they came to the church where I abode¹⁶⁵⁵, that if they had anything against him they might declare it; desiring them to have confidence, and not to expect otherwise than that a right judgment would be passed in all things. This I did once and again, requesting them, if they were unwilling to appear before the whole Council, yet to appear before me alone; promising them also, that if he should be proved guilty, he should certainly be rejected by us; but if he should be found to be blameless, and should prove them to be calumniators, that if they should then refuse to hold communion with him, I would persuade him to go with me into the Spains. Athanasius was willing to comply with these conditions, and made no objection to my proposal; but they, altogether distrusting their cause, would not consent. And on another occasion Athanasius came to your Court¹⁶⁵⁶, when you wrote for him, and his enemies being at the time in Antioch, he requested that

¹⁶⁵⁴ Transferred by copyists hither.

¹⁶⁵⁵ [i.e. at Sardica, cf. *Apol. Ar.* 36.]

¹⁶⁵⁶ Cf. §22.

286

they might be summoned either altogether or separately, in order that they might either convict him, or be convicted¹⁶⁵⁷, and might either in his presence prove him to be what they represented, or cease to accuse him when absent. To this proposal also you would not listen, and they equally rejected it. Why then do you still give ear to them that speak evil of him? How can you endure Valens and Ursacius, although they have retracted and made a written confession of their calumnies¹⁶⁵⁸? For it is not true, as they pretend, that they were forced to confess; there were no soldiers at hand to influence them; your brother was not cognizant of the matter¹⁶⁵⁹. No, such things were not done under his government, as are done now; God forbid. But they voluntarily went up to Rome, and in the presence of the Bishop and Presbyters wrote their recantation, having previously addressed to Athanasius a friendly and peaceable letter. And if they pretend that force was employed towards them, and acknowledge that this is an evil thing, which you also disapprove of; then do you cease to use force; write no letters, send no Counts; but release those that have been banished, lest while you are complaining of violence, they do but exercise greater violence. When was any such thing done by Constans? What Bishop suffered banishment? When did he appear as arbiter of an Ecclesiastical trial? When did any Palatine of his compel men to subscribe against any one, that Valens and his fellows should be able to affirm this? Cease these proceedings, I beseech you, and remember that you are a mortal man. Be afraid of the day of judgment, and keep yourself pure thereunto. Intrude not yourself into Ecclesiastical matters, neither give commands unto us concerning them; but learn them from us. God has put into your hands the kingdom; to us He has entrusted the affairs of His Church; and as he who would steal the empire from you would resist the ordinance of God, so likewise fear on your part lest by taking upon yourself the government of the Church, you become guilty of a great offence. It is written, “Render unto Cæsar the things that are Cæsar’s, and unto God the things that are God’s¹⁶⁶⁰.” Neither therefore is it permitted unto us to exercise an earthly rule, nor have you, Sire, any authority to burn incense¹⁶⁶¹. These things I write unto you out of a concern for your salvation. With regard to the subject of your letters, this is my determination; I will not unite myself to the Arians; I anathematize their heresy. Neither will I subscribe against Athanasius, whom both we and the Church of the Romans and the whole Council pronounced to be guiltless. And yourself also, when you understood this, sent for the man, and gave him permission to return with honour to his country and his Church. What reason then can there be for so great a change in your conduct? The same persons who were his enemies before, are so now also; and the things they now whisper to his prejudice (for they do not declare them openly in his presence), the same they spoke against him, before you sent for him; the same they spread abroad concerning him

¹⁶⁵⁷ *Apol. Const.* 5.

¹⁶⁵⁸ *Apol. Ar.* 58.

¹⁶⁵⁹ §29.

¹⁶⁶⁰ Matt. xxii. 21.

¹⁶⁶¹ [The language of Hosius is figurative. The first mention of incense as a rite in Christian worship is in ps.-Dionys., about a.d. 500, cf. D.C.A. p. 830 *sq.*]

when they come to the Council. And when I required them to come forward, as I have before said, they were unable to produce their proofs; had they possessed any, they would not have fled so disgracefully. Who then persuaded you so long after to forget your own letters and declarations? Forbear, and be not influenced by evil men, lest while you act for the mutual advantage of yourself and them, you render yourself responsible. For here you comply with their desires, hereafter in the judgment you will have to answer for doing so alone. These men desire by your means to injure their enemy, and wish to make you the minister of their wickedness, in order that through your help they may sow the seeds¹⁶⁶² of their accursed heresy in the Church. Now it is not a prudent thing to cast one's self into manifest danger for the pleasure of others. Cease then, I beseech you, O Constantius, and be persuaded by me. These things it becomes me to write, and you not to despise.'

45. *Lapse of Hosius, due to cruel persecution.*

Such were the sentiments, and such the letter, of the Abraham-like old man, Hosius, truly so called¹⁶⁶³. But the Emperor desisted not from his designs, nor ceased to seek an occasion against him; but continued to threaten him severely, with a view either to bring him over by force, or to banish him if he refused to comply. And as the Officers and Satraps of Babylon¹⁶⁶⁴, seeking an occasion against Daniel, found none except in the law of his God; so likewise these present Satraps of impiety were unable to invent any charge against the old man (for this true Hosius, and his blameless life were known to all), except the charge of hatred to their heresy. They therefore proceeded to accuse him; though not under the same circumstances as those others accused Daniel to Darius, for Darius was grieved to hear the charge, but as Jezebel accused Naboth, and as the Jews applied themselves to Herod. And they said, 'He not only will not subscribe against Athanasius, but also on his account condemns us; and his hatred to the heresy is so great, that he also writes to others, that they should rather suffer death, than become traitors to the truth. For, he says, our beloved Athanasius also is persecuted for the Truth's sake, and Liberius, Bishop of Rome, and all the rest, are treacherously assailed.' When this patron of impiety, and Emperor of heresy¹⁶⁶⁵, Constantius, heard this, and especially that there were others also in the Spains of the same mind as Hosius, after he had tempted them also to subscribe, and was unable to compel them to do so, he sent for Hosius, and instead of banishing him, detained him a whole year in Sirmium. Godless, unholy, without natural affection, he feared not God, he regarded not his father's affection for

287

¹⁶⁶² Vid. *de Decr.* 2, note 6. It is remarkable, this letter having so much its own character, and being so unlike Athanasius's writings in style, that a phrase characteristic of him should here occur in it. Did Athan. translate it from Latin?

¹⁶⁶³ ὁ ἀληθῶς "Οσιος, κατάσκοποι, οὐ γὰρ ἐπίσκοποι, supr. §3. infr. §§48, 76 fin. and so ἀληθῶς Εὐσέβιε, Theod. *Hist.* i. 4. 'Ονήσιμον, τὸν ποτέ σοι ἄχρηστον, νυνὶ δὲ εὔχρηστον, Philem. 10. *De Syn.* 26, note 6.

¹⁶⁶⁴ Dan. vi. 5.

¹⁶⁶⁵ §§9, 30, 54.

Hosius, he reverenced not his great age, for he was now a hundred years old¹⁶⁶⁶; but all these things this modern Ahab, this second Belshazzar of our times, disregarded for the sake of impiety. He used such violence towards the old man, and confined him so straitly, that at last, broken by suffering, he was brought, though hardly, to hold communion with Valens, Ursacius, and their fellows, though he would not subscribe against Athanasius. Yet even thus he forgot not his duty, for at the approach of death, as it were by his last testament, he bore witness to the force which had been used towards him, and anathematized the Arian heresy, and gave strict charge that no one should receive it.

46. Arbitrary expulsion of so many bishops.

Who that witnessed these things, or that has merely heard of them, will not be greatly amazed, and cry aloud unto the Lord, saying, ‘Wilt Thou make a full end of Israel¹⁶⁶⁷?’ Who that is acquainted with these proceedings, will not with good reason cry out and say, ‘A wonderful and horrible thing is done in the land;’ and, ‘The heavens are astonished at this, and the earth is even more horribly afraid¹⁶⁶⁸.’ The fathers of the people and the teachers of the faith are taken away, and the impious are brought into the Churches? Who that saw when Liberius, Bishop of Rome, was banished, and when the great Hosius, the father¹⁶⁶⁹ of the Bishops, suffered these things, or who that saw so many Bishops banished out of Spain and the other parts, could fail to perceive, however little sense he might possess, that the charges¹⁶⁷⁰ against Athanasius also and the rest were false, and altogether mere calumny? For this reason those others also endured all suffering, because they saw plainly that the conspiracies laid against these were founded in falsehood. For what charge was there against Liberius? or what accusation against the aged Hosius? who bore even a false witness against Paulinus, and Lucifer, and Dionysius, and Eusebius? or what sin could be laid to the account of the rest of the banished Bishops, and Presbyters, and Deacons? None whatever; God forbid. There were no charges against them on which a plot for their ruin might be formed; nor was it on the ground of any accusation that they were severally banished. It was an insurrection of impiety against godliness; it was zeal for the Arian heresy, and a prelude to the coming of Antichrist, for whom Constantius is thus preparing the way.

¹⁶⁶⁶ οὕτε τὸν Θεὸν φοβηθεὶς ὁ ἄθεος, οὕτε τοῦ πατρὸς τὴν διάθεσιν αἰδεσθεὶς ὁ ἀνόσιος, οὕτε τὸ γῆρας αἰσχυνθεὶς ὁ ἀστοργος.

¹⁶⁶⁷ Ez. xi. 13.

¹⁶⁶⁸ Jer. v. 30; ii. 12.

¹⁶⁶⁹ Cf. §15.

¹⁶⁷⁰ Vid. in *Apol. contr. Ar.* and *ad Const.*

Part VII.

Persecution at Alexandria

47. ‘After’ he had accomplished all that he desired against the Churches in Italy, and the other parts; after he had banished some, and violently oppressed others, and filled every place with fear, he at last turned his fury, as it had been some pestilential disorder, against Alexandria. This was artfully contrived by the enemies of Christ; for in order that they might have a show of the signatures of many Bishops, and that Athanasius might not have a single Bishop in his persecution to whom he could even complain, they therefore anticipated his proceedings, and filled every place with terror, which they kept up to second them in the prosecution of their designs. But herein they perceived not through their folly that they were not exhibiting the deliberate choice of the Bishops, but rather the violence which themselves had employed; and that, although his brethren should desert him, and his friends and acquaintance stand afar off, and no one be found to sympathise with him and console him, yet far above all these, a refuge with his God was sufficient for him. For Elijah also was alone in his persecution, and God was all in all to the holy man. And the Saviour has given us an example herein, who also was left alone, and exposed to the designs of His enemies, to teach us, that when we are persecuted and deserted by men, we must not faint, but place our hope in Him, and not betray the Truth. For although at first truth may seem to be afflicted, yet even they who persecute shall afterwards acknowledge it.

288

48. *Attacks upon the Alexandrian Church.*

Accordingly they urge on the Emperor, who first writes a menacing letter, which he sends to the Duke and the soldiers. The Notaries Diogenius and Hilarius¹⁶⁷¹, and certain Palatines with them, were the bearers of it; upon whose arrival those terrible and cruel outrages were committed against the Church, which I have briefly related a little above¹⁶⁷², and which are known to all men from the protests put forth by the people, which are inserted at the end of this history, so that any one may read them. Then after these proceedings on the part of Syrianus, after these enormities had been perpetrated, and violence offered to the Virgins, as approving of such conduct and the infliction of these evils upon us, he writes again to the senate and people of Alexandria, instigating the younger men, and requiring them to assemble together, and either to persecute Athanasius, or consider themselves as his enemies. He however had withdrawn before these instructions reached them, and from the time when Syrianus broke into the Church; for he remembered that which was written,

¹⁶⁷¹ *Ap. Const.* 22, 24, below, §81.

¹⁶⁷² §31, &c.

'Hide thyself as it were for a little moment, until the indignation be overpast¹⁶⁷³.' One Heraclius, by rank a Count, was the bearer of this letter, and the precursor of a certain George that was despatched by the Emperor as a spy, for one that was sent from him cannot be a Bishop¹⁶⁷⁴; God forbid. And so indeed his conduct and the circumstances which preceded his entrance sufficiently prove.

49 and 50. *Hypocrisy of the pretended respect of Constantius for his brother's memory.*

Heraclius then published the letter, which reflected great disgrace upon the writer. For whereas, when the great Hosius wrote to Constantius, he had been unable to make out any plausible pretext for his change of conduct, he now invented an excuse much more discreditable to himself and his advisers. He said, 'From regard to the affection I entertained towards my brother of divine and pious memory, I endured for a time the coming of Athanasius among you.' This proves that he has both broken his promise, and behaved ungratefully to his brother after his death. He then declares him to be, as indeed he is, 'deserving of divine and pious remembrance;' yet as regards a command of his, or to use his own language, the 'affection' he bore him, even though he complied merely 'for the sake' of the blessed Constans, he ought to deal fairly by his brother, and make himself heir to his sentiments as well as to the Empire. But, although, when seeking to obtain his just rights, he deposed Vetranio, with the question, 'To whom does the inheritance belong after a brother's death¹⁶⁷⁵?' yet for the sake of the accursed heresy of the enemies of Christ, he disregards the claims of justice, and behaves undutifully towards his brethren. Nay, for the sake of this heresy, he would not consent to observe even his father's wishes without infringement; but, in what he may gratify these impious men, he pretends to adopt his intention, while in order to distress the others, he cares not to shew the reverence which is due unto a father. For in consequence of the calumnies of Eusebius and his fellows, his father sent the Bishop for a time into Gaul to avoid the cruelty of his persecutors (this was shewn by the blessed Constantine, the brother of the former, after their father's death, as appears by his letters¹⁶⁷⁶), but he would not be persuaded by Eusebius and his fellows to send the person whom they desired for a Bishop, but prevented the accomplishment of their wishes, and put a stop to their attempts with severe threats.

51. *How Constantius shews his respect for his father and brother.*

¹⁶⁷³ Is. xxvi. 20.

¹⁶⁷⁴ κατασκόπου, οὐκ ἐπίσκοπος, vid. §45, note 6.

¹⁶⁷⁵ [a.d. 350, cf. Gibbon *Hist. ch. xviii.* vol. ii. p. 378.]

¹⁶⁷⁶ *Apol. Ar.* 87.

If therefore, as he declares in his letters, he desired to observe his sire's practice, why did he first send out Gregory, and now this George, the eater of stores¹⁶⁷⁷? Why does he endeavour so earnestly to introduce into the Church these Arians, whom his father named Porphyrians¹⁶⁷⁸, and banish others while he patronises them? Although his father admitted Arius to his presence, yet when Arius perjured himself and burst asunder¹⁶⁷⁹ he lost the compassion of his father; who, on learning the truth, condemned him as an heretic. Why moreover, while pretending to respect the Canon of the Church, has he ordered the whole course of his conduct in opposition to them? For where is there a Canon that a Bishop should be appointed from Court? Where is there a Canon¹⁶⁸⁰ that permits soldiers to invade Churches? What tradition is there allowing counts and ignorant eunuchs to exercise authority in Ecclesiastical matters, and to make known by their edicts the decisions of those who bear the name of Bishops? He is guilty of all manner of falsehood for the sake of this unholy heresy. At a former time he sent out Philagrius as Prefect a second time¹⁶⁸¹, in opposition to the opinion of his father, and we see what has taken place now. Nor 'for his brother's sake' does he speak the truth. For after his death he wrote not once nor twice, but three times to the Bishop, and repeatedly promised him that he would not change his behaviour towards him, but exhorted him to be of good courage, and not suffer any one to alarm him, but to continue to abide in his Church in perfect security. He also sent his commands by Count Asterius, and Palladius the Notary, to Felicissimus, who was then Duke, and to the Prefect Nestorius, that if either Philip the Prefect, or any other should venture to form any plot against Athanasius, they should prevent it.

52. *The Emperor has no right to rule the Church.*

Wherefore when Diogenes came, and Syrianus laid in wait for us, both he and we¹⁶⁸² and the people demanded to see the Emperor's letters, supposing that, as it is written, 'Let not a falsehood be spoken before the king'¹⁶⁸³; so when a king has made a promise, he will not lie, nor change. If then 'for his brother's sake he complied,' why did he also write those letters upon his death? And if he wrote them for 'his memory's sake,' why did he afterwards behave so very unkindly towards him, and persecute the man, and write what he did, alleging a judgment of Bishops, while in truth he acted only to please himself? Nevertheless his craft has not escaped detection, but we have the

¹⁶⁷⁷ George had been pork-contractor to the army, and had been detected in peculation. vid. *de Syn.* 37, note 3.

¹⁶⁷⁸ Constantine called the Arians by this title after the philosopher Porphyry, the great enemy of Christianity. Socrates has preserved the Edict. *Hist.* i. 9.

¹⁶⁷⁹ *De Morte Arii* 3, &c.

¹⁶⁸⁰ *Encycl.* 2; *Apol. Ar.* 36.

¹⁶⁸¹ §7, note 1.

¹⁶⁸² The amanuensis here appears to speak for himself: but the Benedictines, with great probability, conjecture τότε καὶ for αὐτός τε καί.

¹⁶⁸³ *Eccl. vii. 5 [Apol. Const. 2].*

proof of it ready at hand. For if a judgment had been passed by Bishops, what concern had the Emperor with it? Or if it was only a threat of the Emperor, what need in that case was there of the so-named Bishops? When was such a thing heard of before from the beginning of the world? When did a judgment of the Church receive its validity from the Emperor? or rather when was his decree ever recognised by the Church? There have been many Councils held heretofore; and many judgments passed by the Church; but the Fathers never sought the consent of the Emperor thereto, nor did the Emperor busy himself with the affairs of the Church¹⁶⁸⁴. The Apostle Paul had friends among them of Cæsar's household, and in his Epistle to the Philippians he sent salutations from them; but he never took them as his associates in Ecclesiastical judgments. Now however we have witnessed a novel spectacle, which is a discovery of the Arian heresy. Heretics have assembled together with the Emperor Constantius, in order that he, alleging the authority of the Bishops, may exercise his power against whomsoever he pleases, and while he persecutes may avoid the name of persecutor; and that they, supported by the Emperor's government, may conspire the ruin of whomsoever they will¹⁶⁸⁵ and these are all such as are not as impious as themselves. One might look upon their proceedings as a comedy which they are performing on the stage, in which the pretended Bishops are actors, and Constantius the performer of their behests, who makes promises to them, as Herod did to the daughter of Herodias, and they dancing before him accomplish through false accusations the banishment and death of the true believers in the Lord.

53. Despotic interference of Constantius.

Who indeed has not been injured by their calumnies? Whom have not these enemies of Christ conspired to destroy? Whom has Constantius failed to banish upon charges which they have brought against them? When did he refuse to hear them willingly? And what is most strange, when did he permit any one to speak against them, and did not more readily receive their testimony, of whatever kind it might be? Where is there a Church which now enjoys the privilege of worshipping Christ freely? If a Church be a maintainer of true piety, it is in danger; if it dissemble, it abides in fear. Every place is full of hypocrisy and impiety, so far as he is concerned; and wherever there is a pious person and a lover of Christ (and there are many such everywhere, as were the prophets and the great Elijah) they hide themselves, if so be that they can find a faithful friend like Obadiah, and either they withdraw into caves and dens of the earth, or pass their lives in wandering about in the

¹⁶⁸⁴ [This may well be taken as a statement of what *ought* to be; but in view of the history of the fourth century it can only be called a rhetorical exaggeration. See *supr. §15, Apol. Ar. 36*, ἐκέλευσαν, *Prolegg. ch. ii. §6 (1) init.*, and *D.C.A. p. 475*, with *reff. there given.*]

¹⁶⁸⁵ οἵς ἀν ἐθέλωσι, and just before ὃν ἀν ἐθέλοι. [And more strikingly just below, §53 fin. ἀ θέλουσι πράττει, ἐπεὶ καὶ αὐτὸς ἄπερ ἥθελεν ἥκουσε παρ' αὐτῶν.] This is a very familiar phrase with Athan. i.e. ως ἐθέλησεν, ἄπερ ἐθέλησαν, ὅταν θέλωσιν, οὖς ἐθέλησαν, &c. &c. Some instances are given *supr. Apol. Ar. 2*, note 3, and *de Syn. 13*, note 6.

290

deserts. These men in their madness prefer such calumnies against them as Jezebel invented against Naboth, and the Jews against the Saviour; while the Emperor, who is the patron of the heresy, and wishes to pervert the truth, as Ahab wished to change the vineyard into a garden of herbs, does whatever they desire him to do, for the suggestions he receives from them are agreeable to his own wishes.

54. Constantius gives up the Alexandrian Churches to the heretics.

Accordingly he banished, as I said before the genuine Bishops, because they would not profess impious doctrines, to suit his own pleasure; and so he now sent Count Heraclius to proceed against Athanasius, who has publicly made known his decrees, and announced the command of the Emperor to be, that unless they complied with the instructions contained in his letters, their bread¹⁶⁸⁶ should be taken away, their idols overthrown, and the persons of many of the city-magistrates and people delivered over to certain slavery. After threatening them in this manner, he was not ashamed to declare publicly with a loud voice, ‘The Emperor disclaims Athanasius, and has commanded that the Churches be given up to the Arians.’ And when all wondered to hear this, and made signs to one another, exclaiming, ‘What! has Constantius become a heretic?’ instead of blushing as he ought, the man all the more obliged the senators and heathen magistrates and wardens¹⁶⁸⁷ of the idol temples to subscribe to these conditions, and to agree to receive as their Bishop whomsoever¹⁶⁸⁸ the Emperor should send them. Of course Constantius was strictly upholding the Canon of the Church, when he caused this to be done; when instead of requiring letters from the Church, he demanded them of the market-place, and instead of the people he asked them of the wardens of the temples. He was conscious that he was not sending a Bishop to preside over Christians, but a certain intruder for those who subscribed to his terms.

55. Irruption into the great Church.

The Gentiles accordingly, as purchasing by their compliance the safety of their idols, and certain of the trades¹⁶⁸⁹, subscribed, though unwillingly, from fear of the threats which he had held out to them; just as if the matter had been the appointment of a general, or other magistrate. Indeed what as heathen, were they likely to do, except whatever was pleasing to the Emperor? But the people

¹⁶⁸⁶ Cf. §§31, 63, note 6.

¹⁶⁸⁷ *Encycl.* §5.

¹⁶⁸⁸ [Observe that George has not yet arrived. Heraclius arrived ‘as his precursor’ (*supr.* §48) along with Cataphronius the new Prefect, on June 10, 356; see §55.]

¹⁶⁸⁹ τῶν ἐργασιῶν,—trades, or workmen. vid. *supr. Apol. Ar.* 15. Montfaucon has a note upon the word in the Collect. *Nov.* t. 2. p. xxvi. where he corrects his Latin *in loc.* of the former passage very nearly in conformity to the rendering given of it above, p. 108. ‘In Onomastico monuimus, hic ἐργασίας “officinarum operas” commodius exprimere.’ And he quotes an inscription [C.I.G. i. 3924] τοῦτο τὸ ἡρῶν στεφανοὶ ἡ ἐργασία τῶν βαφέων.

having assembled in the great Church (for it was the fourth day of the week), Count Heraclius on the following day¹⁶⁹⁰ takes with him Cataphronius the Prefect of Egypt, and Faustinus the Receiver-General¹⁶⁹¹, and Bithynus a heretic; and together they stir up the younger men of the common multitude¹⁶⁹² who worshipped idols, to attack the Church, and stone the people, saying that such was the Emperor's command. As the time of dismissal however had arrived, the greater part had already left the Church, but there being a few women still remaining, they did as the men had charged them, whereupon a piteous spectacle ensued. The few women had just risen from prayer and had sat down when the youths suddenly came upon them naked with stones and clubs. Some of them the godless wretches stoned to death; they scourged with stripes the holy persons of the Virgins, tore off their veils and exposed their heads, and when they resisted the insult, the cowards kicked them with their feet. This was dreadful, exceedingly dreadful; but what ensued was worse, and more intolerable than any outrage. Knowing the holy character of the virgins, and that their ears were unaccustomed to pollution, and that they were better able to bear stones and swords than expressions of obscenity, they assailed them with such language. This the Arians suggested to the young men, and laughed at all they said and did; while the holy Virgins and other godly women fled from such words as they would from the bite of asps, but the enemies of Christ assisted them in the work, nay even, it may be, gave utterance to the same; for they were well-pleased with the obscenities which the youths vented upon them.

56. *The great Church pillaged.*

After this, that they might fully execute the orders they had received (for this was what they earnestly desired, and what the Count and the Receiver-General instructed them to do), they seized upon the seats, the throne, and the table which was of wood¹⁶⁹³, and the curtains¹⁶⁹⁴ of the Church, and whatever else they were able, and carrying them out burnt them before the doors in the great street, and cast frankincense upon the flame. Alas! who will not weep to hear of these things, and, it may be, close his ears, that he may not have to endure the recital, esteeming it hurtful merely to listen to the account of such enormities? Moreover they sang the praises of their idols, and said,

¹⁶⁹⁰ [i.e. Thursday, June 13, 356, three days after the arrival of Heraclius and Cataphronius. The church in question was apparently that of Theonas, or the Cæsareum (p. 298). According to *Hist. Aceph.* the churches were formally handed over to the Arians on June 15, i.e. on the Saturday. The *Hist. Aceph.* here fits minutely the scattered notices of Athan.: see Prolegg. ch. ii. §8 (1).]

¹⁶⁹¹ Catholicus, ib. 10, note 4.

¹⁶⁹² τῶν ἀγοραίων, vid. Acts xvii. 5. ἀγορὰ has been used just above. vid. Suicer. *Thesaur. in voc.*

¹⁶⁹³ Vid. Fleury's *Church History*, xxii. 7. p. 129, note k. [Oxf. tr. 1843.] By specifying the material, Athan. implies that altars were sometimes not of wood. [cf. D.C.A. 61 sq.]

¹⁶⁹⁴ Curtains were at the entrance, and before the chancel. vid. Bingh. *Antiqu.* viii. 6. §8. Hofman. *Lex. in voc. velum.* also Chrysost. *Hom.* iii. in Eph.

'Constantius hath become a heathen, and the Arians have acknowledged our customs;' for indeed they scruple not even to pretend heathenism, if only their heresy may be established. They even were ready to sacrifice a heifer which drew the water for the gardens in the Cæsareum¹⁶⁹⁵; and would have sacrificed it, had it not been a female¹⁶⁹⁶; for they said that it was unlawful for such to be offered among them.

57. Thus acted the impious¹⁶⁹⁷ Arians in conjunction with the heathens, thinking that these things tended to our dishonour. But Divine justice reproved their iniquity, and wrought a great and remarkable sign, thereby plainly shewing to all men, that as in their acts of impiety they had dared to attack none other but the Lord, so in these proceedings also they were again attempting to do dishonour unto Him. This was more manifestly proved by the marvellous event which now came to pass. One of these licentious youths ran into the Church, and ventured to sit down upon the throne; and as he sat there the wretched man uttered with a nasal sound some lascivious song. Then rising up he attempted to pull away the throne, and to drag it towards him; he knew not that he was drawing down vengeance upon himself. For as of old the inhabitants of Azotus, when they ventured to touch¹⁶⁹⁸ the Ark, which it was not lawful for them even to look upon, were immediately destroyed by it, being first grievously tormented by emerods; so this unhappy person who presumed to drag the throne, drew it upon himself, and, as if Divine justice had sent the wood to punish him, he struck it into his own bowels; and instead of carrying out the throne, he brought out by his blow his own entrails; so that the throne took away his life, instead of his taking it away. For, as it is¹⁶⁹⁹ written of Judas, his bowels gushed out; and he fell down and was carried away, and the day after he died. Another also entered the Church with boughs of trees¹⁷⁰⁰ and, as in the Gentile manner he waved them in his hands and mocked, he was immediately struck with blindness, so as straightway to lose his sight, and to know no longer where he was; but as he was about to fall, he was taken by the hand and supported by his companions out of the place, and when on the following day he was with difficulty brought to his senses, he knew not either what he had done or suffered in consequence of his audacity.

¹⁶⁹⁵ The royal quarter in Alexandria, vid. *Apol. Const.* 15. In other Palatia an aqueduct was necessary, e.g. vid. *Cod. Theod.* xv. 2. even at Daphne, though it abounded in springs, *ibid.* 1, 2.

¹⁶⁹⁶ Vid. *Herodot.* ii. 41. who says that cows and heifers were sacred to Isis. vid. *Jablonski Pantheon Æg.* i. 1. §15. who says that Isis was worshipped in the shape of a cow, and therefore the cows received divine honours. Yet bulls were sacrificed to Apis, *ibid.* iv. 2. §9. vid. also *Schweighæuser in loc. Herod.*

¹⁶⁹⁷ Vid. note on *de Decr.* §1. This is a remarkable instance of the special and technical sense of the words, εὐσέβεια, ἀσεβοῦντες, &c. being here contrasted with pagan blasphemy, &c.

¹⁶⁹⁸ 1 Sam. 5, 6.

¹⁶⁹⁹ Acts i. 18.

¹⁷⁰⁰ [μετὰ θαλλῶν; φαλλῶν 'pro vera lectione probabiliter haberi posse arbitror.' Montf. *Coll. Nov.* t. ii.]

58. General Persecution at Alexandria.

The Gentiles, when they beheld these things, were seized with fear, and ventured on no further outrage; but the Arians were not even yet touched with shame, but, like the Jews when they saw the miracles, were faithless and would not believe, nay, like Pharaoh, they were hardened; they too having placed their hopes below, on the Emperor and his eunuchs. They permitted the Gentiles, or rather the more abandoned of the Gentiles, to act in the manner before described; for they found that Faustinus, who is the Receiver-General by style, but is a vulgar¹⁷⁰¹ person in habits, and profligate in heart, was ready to play his part with them in these proceedings, and to stir up the heathen. Nay, they undertook to do the like themselves, that as they had modelled their heresy upon all other heresies together¹⁷⁰², so they might share their wickedness with the more depraved of mankind. What they did through the instrumentality of others I described above; the enormities they committed themselves surpass the bounds of all wickedness; and they exceed the malice of any hangman. Where is there a house which they did not ravage? where is there a family they did not plunder on pretence of searching for their opponents? where is there a garden they did not trample under foot? what tomb¹⁷⁰³ did they not open, pretending they were seeking for Athanasius, though their sole object was to plunder and spoil all that came in their way? How many men's houses were sealed up¹⁷⁰⁴! The contents of how many persons' lodgings did they give away to the soldiers who assisted them! Who had not experience of their wickedness? Who that met them but was obliged to hide himself in the market-place? Did not many an one leave his house from fear of them, and pass the night in the desert? Did not many an one, while anxious to preserve his property from them, lose the greater part of it? And who, however inexperienced of the sea, did not choose rather to commit himself to it, and to risk all its dangers, than to witness their threatenings? Many also changed their residences, and removed from street to street, and from the city to the suburbs. And many submitted to severe fines, and when they were unable to pay, borrowed of others, merely that they might escape their machinations.

59. Violence of Sebastianus.

For they made themselves formidable to all men, and treated all with great arrogance, using the name of the Emperor, and threatening them with his displeasure. They had to assist them in their wickedness the Duke Sebastianus, a Manichee, and a profligate young man; the¹⁷⁰⁵ Prefect, the Count, and the Receiver-General as a dissembler. Many Virgins who condemned their impiety,

¹⁷⁰¹ γοραῖον, see §§55, note 11, above.

¹⁷⁰² Cf. *Ep. Aeg.* 17, and §31, note 8.

¹⁷⁰³ Vid. *Socr. Hist.* iv. 13.

¹⁷⁰⁴ *Apol. Fug.* 6.

¹⁷⁰⁵ Cf. §55.

and professed the truth, they brought out from the houses; others they insulted as they walked along the streets, and caused their heads to be uncovered by their young men. They also gave permission to the females of their party to insult whom they chose; and although the holy and faithful women withdrew on one side, and gave them the way, yet they gathered round them like Bacchanals and Furies¹⁷⁰⁶, and esteemed it a misfortune if they found no means to injure them, and spent that day sorrowfully on which they were unable to do them some mischief. In a word, so cruel and bitter were they against all, that all men called them hangmen, murderers, lawless, intruders, evil-doers, and by any other name rather than that of Christians.

60. *Martyrdom of Eutychius.*

Moreover, imitating the savage practices of Scythians, they seized upon Eutychius a Subdeacon, a man who had served the Church honourably, and causing him to be scourged on the back with a leather whip, till he was at the point of death, they demanded that he should be sent away to the mines; and not simply to any mine, but to that of Phæno¹⁷⁰⁷, where even a condemned murderer is hardly able to live a few days. And what was most unreasonable in their conduct, they would not permit him even a few hours to have his wounds dressed, but caused him to be sent off immediately, saying, ‘If this is done, all men will be afraid, and henceforward will be on our side.’ After a short interval, however, being unable to accomplish his journey to the mine on account of the pain of his stripes, he died on the way. He perished rejoicing, having obtained the glory of martyrdom. But the miscreants were not even yet ashamed, but in the words of Scripture, ‘having bowels without mercy¹⁷⁰⁸,’ they acted accordingly, and now again perpetrated a satanic deed. When the people prayed them to spare Eutychius and besought them for him, they caused four honourable and free citizens to be seized, one of whom was Hermias who washed the beggars’ feet¹⁷⁰⁹; and after scourging them very severely, the Duke cast them into the prison. But the Arians, who are more cruel even than Scythians, when they had seen that they did not die from the stripes they had received,

¹⁷⁰⁶ Vid. *de Syn.* 31, note 4, also Greg. *Naz.* *Orat.* 35. 3. Epiph. *Hær.* 69. 3. Theod. *Hist.* i. 3. (P. 730. ed. Schulze).

¹⁷⁰⁷ The mines of Phæno lie almost in a direct line between Petre and Zoar, which is at the southern extremity of the Dead Sea. They formed the place of punishment of Confessors in the Maximian Persecution, Euseb. *de Mart. Pal.* 7, and in the Arian Persecution at Alexandria after Athan. Theod. *H. E.* iv. 19, p. 996. Phæno was once the seat of a Bishopric, which sent a Bishop to the Councils at Ephesus, the Ecumenical, and the Latrocinium. vid. Reland. *Palestine*, pp. 951, 952. Montfaucon *in loc.* Athan. *Le Quien. Or. Christ.* t. 3. p. 745.

¹⁷⁰⁸ Prov. xii. 10.

¹⁷⁰⁹ Ἐρμείαν λούοντα τοὺς ἀνέξοδους, Inauspicato verterat Hermantius, ‘qui angiportos non pervios lavabat;’ Montfaucon, *Coll. Nov.* t. 2. p. xlili. who translates as above, yet not satisfactorily, especially as there is no article before λούοντα. Tillemont says, ‘qui avait “quelle charge” dans la police de la ville,’ understanding by ἀνέξοδοι, ‘inclusi sive incarcerati homines;’ whereas they are ‘ii qui ἀνὰ τὰς ἔξοδους in exitibus viarum, stipem cogunt.’ Montf. *ibid.* For the custom of washing the feet vid. Bingh. *Antiqu.* xii. 4. § 10.

complained of the Duke and threatened, saying, ‘We will write and tell the eunuchs¹⁷¹⁰, that he does not flog as we wish.’ Hearing this he was afraid, and was obliged to beat the men a second time; and they being beaten, and knowing for what cause they suffered and by whom they had been accused, said only, ‘We are beaten for the sake of the Truth, but we will not hold communion with the heretics: beat us now as thou wilt; God will judge thee for this.’ The impious men wished to expose them to danger in the prison, that they might die there; but the people of God observing their time, besought him for them, and after seven days or more they were set at liberty.

61. *Ill-treatment of the poor.*

But the Arians, as being grieved at this, again devised another yet more cruel and unholy deed; cruel in the eyes of all men, but well suited to their antichristian heresy. The Lord commanded that we should remember the poor; He said, ‘Sell that ye have, and give alms’ and again ‘I was a hungry, and ye gave Me meat; I was thirsty, and ye gave Me drink; for inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of these little ones, ye have done it unto Me¹⁷¹¹.’ But these men, as being in truth opposed to Christ, have presumed to act contrary to His will in this respect also. For when the Duke gave up the Churches to the Arians, and the destitute persons and widows were unable to continue any longer in them, the widows sat down in places which the Clergy entrusted with the care of them appointed. And when the Arians saw that the brethren readily ministered unto them and supported them, they persecuted the widows also, beating them on the feet, and accused those who gave to them before the Duke. This was done by means of a certain soldier named Dynamius. And it was well-pleasing to Sebastian¹⁷¹², for there is no mercy in the Manichæans; nay, it is considered a hateful thing among them to shew mercy to a poor man¹⁷¹³. Here then was a novel subject of complaint; and a new kind of court now first invented by the Arians. Persons were brought to trial for acts of kindness which they had performed; he who shewed mercy was accused, and he who had received a benefit was beaten; and they wished rather that a poor man should suffer hunger, than that he who was willing to shew mercy should give to him. Such sentiments these modern Jews, for such they are, have learned from the Jews of old, who when they saw him who had been blind from his birth recover his sight, and him who had been a long time sick of the palsy made whole, accused¹⁷¹⁴ the Lord who had bestowed these benefits upon them, and judged them to be transgressors who had experienced His goodness¹⁷¹⁵.

293

¹⁷¹⁰ Cf. §38.

¹⁷¹¹ Luke xii. 33; Matt. xxv. 35, 40.

¹⁷¹² Cf. §81.

¹⁷¹³ They would give money, but thought it wrong to give food. Ath. was possibly unaware of this distinction. See Bright, Introd. to *Hist. Tracts*, p. lxxi. note 7.]

¹⁷¹⁴ Joh. ix.; Matt. ix. 3.

¹⁷¹⁵ Vid. *de Decr.* §1.

62. *Ill-treatment of the poor.*

Who was not struck with astonishment at these proceedings? Who did not execrate both the heresy, and its defenders? Who failed to perceive that the Arians are indeed more cruel than wild beasts? For they had no prospect of gain¹⁷¹⁶ from their iniquity, for the sake of which they might have acted in this manner; but they rather increased the hatred of all men against themselves. They thought by treachery and terror to force certain persons into their heresy, so that they might be brought to communicate with them; but the event turned out quite the contrary. The sufferers endured as martyrdom whatever they inflicted upon them, and neither betrayed nor denied the true faith in Christ. And those who were without and witnessed their conduct, and at last even the heathen, when they saw these things, execrated them as antichristian, as cruel executioners; for human nature is prone to pity and sympathise with the poor. But these men have lost even the common sentiments of humanity; and that kindness which they would have desired to meet with at the hands of others, had themselves been sufferers, they would not permit others to receive, but employed against them the severity and authority of the magistrates, and especially of the Duke.

63. *Ill-treatment of the Presbyters and Deacons.*

What they have done to the Presbyters and Deacons; how they drove them into banishment under sentence passed upon them by the Duke and the magistrates, causing the soldiers to bring out their kinsfolk from the houses¹⁷¹⁷, and Gorgonius, the commander of the police¹⁷¹⁸ to beat them with stripes; and how (most cruel act of all) with much insolence they plundered the loaves¹⁷¹⁹ of these and of those who were now dead; these things it is impossible for words to describe, for their cruelty surpasses all the powers of language. What terms could one employ which might seem equal to the subject? What circumstances could one mention first, so that those next recorded would not be found more dreadful, and the next more dreadful still? All their attempts and iniquities¹⁷²⁰ were full of murder and impiety; and so unscrupulous and artful are they, that they endeavour to deceive by promises of protection, and by bribing with money¹⁷²¹, that so, since they cannot

¹⁷¹⁶ Cf. note on *Orat. i.* §8.

¹⁷¹⁷ §59.

¹⁷¹⁸ στρατηγοῦ, infr. §81, note.

¹⁷¹⁹ τοὺς ἄρτους [i.e. their stated allowance: see also *Apol. Ar.* 18], the word occurs *Encycl.* 4, *Apol. Fug.* 6, supr. §§31, 54, in this sense: but Nannius, Hermant, and Tillemont, with some plausibility understand it as a Latin term naturalized, and translate ‘most cruel of all, with much insolence they tore the “limbs” of the dead,’ alleging that merely to take away ‘loaves’ was not so ‘cruel’ as to take away ‘lives,’ which the Arians had done [the parallels refute this, apart from linguistic grounds].

¹⁷²⁰ ἀσεβήματα

¹⁷²¹ p. 227, note 8, infr. §73.

recommend themselves by fair means, they may thereby make some display to impose on the simple.

Part VIII.

Persecution in Egypt.

64. Who would call them even by the name of Gentiles; much less by that of Christians? Would any one regard their habits and feelings as human, and not rather those of wild beasts, seeing their cruel and savage conduct? They are more worthless than public hangmen; more audacious than all other heretics. To the Gentiles they are much inferior, and stand far apart and separate from them¹⁷²². I have heard from our fathers, and I believe their report to be a faithful one, that long ago, when a persecution arose in the time¹⁷²³ of Maximian, the grandfather of Constantius, the Gentiles concealed our brethren the Christians, who were sought after, and frequently suffered the loss of their own substance, and had trial of imprisonment, solely that they might not betray the fugitives. They protected those who fled to them for refuge, as they would have done their own persons, and were determined to run all risks on their behalf. But now these admirable persons, the inventors of a new heresy, act altogether the contrary part; and are distinguished for nothing but their treachery. They have appointed themselves as executioners, and seek to betray all alike, and make those who conceal others the objects of their plots, esteeming equally as their enemy both him that conceals and him that is concealed. So murderous are they; so emulous in their evil-doings of the wickedness of Judas.

65. Martyrdom of Secundus of Barka.

The crimes these men have committed cannot adequately be described. I would only say, that as I write and wish to enumerate all their deeds of iniquity, the thought enters my mind, whether this heresy be not the fourth daughter of the horse-leach¹⁷²⁴ in the Proverbs, since after so many acts of injustice, so many murders, it hath not yet said, ‘It is enough.’ No; it still rages, and goes about¹⁷²⁵ seeking after those whom it has not yet discovered, while those whom it has already injured, it is eager to injure anew. After the night attack, after the evils committed in consequence of it,

¹⁷²² §§20, 29.

¹⁷²³ [303 a.d.]

¹⁷²⁴ Prov. xxx. 15.

¹⁷²⁵ περιέρχεται, 1 Pet. v. 8. supr. §20, and *ad Adelph.* §2 fin.

after the persecution brought about by Heraclius, they cease not yet to accuse us falsely before the Emperor (and they are confident that as impious persons they will obtain a hearing), desiring that something more than banishment may be inflicted upon us, and that hereafter those who do not consent to their impieties may be destroyed. Accordingly, being now emboldened in an extreme degree, that most abandoned Secundus¹⁷²⁶ of Pentapolis, and Stephanus¹⁷²⁷ his accomplice, conscious that their heresy was a defence of any injustice they might commit, on discovering a Presbyter at Barka who would not comply with their desires (he was called Secundus, being of the same name, but not of the same faith with the heretic), they kicked him till he died¹⁷²⁸. While he was thus suffering he imitated the Saint, and said, ‘Let no one avenge my cause before human judges; I have the Lord for my avenger, for whose sake I suffer these things at their hands.’ They however were not moved with pity at these words, nor did they feel any awe of the sacred season; for it was during the time of Lent¹⁷²⁹ that they thus kicked the man to death.

66. Persecution the weapon of Arianism.

O new heresy, that hast put on the whole devil in impiety and wicked deeds! For in truth it is but a lately invented evil; and although certain heretofore appear to have adopted its doctrines, yet they concealed them, and were not known to hold them. But Eusebius and Arius, like serpents coming out of their holes, have vomited forth the poison of this impiety; Arius daring to blasphemy openly, and¹⁷³⁰ Eusebius defending his blasphemy. He was not however able to support the heresy, until, as I said before, he found a patron¹⁷³¹ for it in the Emperor. Our fathers called an Ecumenical Council, when three hundred of them, more or less¹⁷³², met together and condemned the Arian heresy, and all declared that it was alien and strange to the faith of the Church. Upon this its supporters, perceiving that they were dishonoured, and had now no good ground of argument to insist upon, devised a different method, and attempted to vindicate it by means of external power. And herein one may especially admire the novelty as well as wickedness of their device, and how they go beyond all other heresies. For these support their madness by persuasive arguments calculated to deceive the simple; the Greeks, as the Apostle has said, make their attack with excellency and persuasiveness of speech, and with plausible fallacies; the Jews, leaving the divine Scriptures, now,

¹⁷²⁶ *Ep. Ag. 7.*

¹⁷²⁷ Cf. *Hist. Aceph.* ix., *de Syn.* 12, *Thdt. H. E.* ii. 28.

¹⁷²⁸ In like manner the party of Dioscorus at the Latrocinium, or Eutychian Council of Ephesus, a.d. 449, kicked to death Flavian, Patriarch of Constantinople.

¹⁷²⁹ *Encyc.* 4.

¹⁷³⁰ *Apol. Ar.* 59.

¹⁷³¹ §45.

¹⁷³² *Apol. Ar.* 23.

as the Apostle again has said, contend about ‘fables and endless genealogies¹⁷³³,’ and the Manichees and Valentinians with them, and others, corrupting the divine Scriptures, put forth fables in terms of their own inventions. But the Arians are bolder than them all, and have shewn that the other heresies are but their younger sisters¹⁷³⁴, whom, as I have said, they surpass in impiety, emulating them all, and especially the Jews in their iniquity. For as the Jews, when they were unable to prove the charges which they pretended to allege against Paul, straightway led him to the chief captain and the governor; so likewise these men, who surpass the Jews in their devices, make use only of the power of the judges; and if any one so much as speaks against them, he is dragged before the Governor or the General.

67. Arianism worse than other heresies, because of Persecution.

295

The other heresies also, when the very Truth has refuted them on the clearest evidence, are wont to be silent, being simply confounded by their conviction. But this modern and accursed heresy, when it is overthrown by argument, when it is cast down and covered with shame by the very Truth, forthwith endeavours to coerce by violence and stripes and imprisonment those whom it has been unable to persuade by argument, thereby acknowledging itself to be anything rather than godly. For it is the part of true godliness not to compel¹⁷³⁵, but to persuade, as I said before.

¹⁷³³ 1 Tim. i. 4.

¹⁷³⁴ Cf. §31.

¹⁷³⁵ The early theory about persecution seems to have been this,—that that was a bad cause which ‘depended’ upon it, but that, when a ‘cause’ was good, there was nothing wrong in using force in due ‘subordination’ to argument [so Pius IX. in *Encycl. ‘Quanta cura.’*] speaks of the ‘officium coercendi sancitis poenis violatores catholicæ religionis]; that there was as little impropriety in the civil magistrate’s inducing ‘individuals’ by force, when they were incapable of higher motives, as by those secular blessings which follow on Christianity. Our Lord’s kingdom was not of this world, that is, it did not depend on this world; but, as subduing, engrossing, and swaying this world, it at times condescended to make use of this world’s weapons against itself. The simple question was ‘whether a cause depended on force for its existence.’ S. Athanasius declared and the event proved, that Arianism was so dependent. When Emperors ceased to persecute, Arianism ceased to be; it had no life in itself. Again, all cruel persecution, or long continued, or on a large scale, was wrong, as arguing ‘an absence’ of moral and rational grounds in the ‘cause’ so maintained. Again, there was an evident ‘impropriety’ in ecclesiastical functionaries using secular weapons, as there would be in their engaging in a secular pursuit, or forming secular connections; whereas the soldier might as suitably, and should as dutifully, defend religion with the sword, as the scholar with his pen. And further there was an abhorrence of cruelty natural to us, which it was a duty to cherish and maintain. All this being considered, there is no inconsistency in S. Athanasius denouncing persecution, and in Theodosius decreeing that ‘the heretical teachers, who usurped the sacred titles of Bishops or Presbyters,’ should be ‘exposed to the heavy penalties of exile and confiscation.’ Gibbon, *Hist.* ch. 27. For a list of passages from the Fathers on the subject, vid. Limborth on the Inquisition, vol. 1. Bellarmin. *de Laicis*, c. 21, 22, and of authors in favour of persecution, vid. Gerhard *de Magistr. Polit.* p. 741, &c. [But vide supr., *Apol. Fug.* 23: ‘persecution is a device of the devil,’ see also *Socr.* vii. 3.]

Thus our Lord Himself, not as employing force, but as offering to their free choice, has said to all, ‘If any man will follow after Me¹⁷³⁶,’ and to His disciples, ‘Will ye also go away¹⁷³⁷?’ This heresy, however, is altogether alien from godliness; and therefore how otherwise should it act, than contrary to our Saviour, seeing also that it has enlisted that enemy of Christ, Constantius, as it were Antichrist himself¹⁷³⁸, to be its leader in impiety? He for its sake has earnestly endeavoured to emulate Saul in savage cruelty. For when the priests gave victuals to David, Saul commanded, and they were all destroyed, in number three hundred and five¹⁷³⁹; and this man, now that all avoid the heresy, and confess a sound faith in the Lord, annuls a Council of full three hundred Bishops, banishes the Bishops themselves, and hinders the people from the practice of piety, and from their prayers to God, preventing their public assemblies. And as Saul overthrew Nob, the city of the priests, so this man, advancing even further in wickedness, has given up the Churches to the impious. And as he honoured Doeg the accuser before the true priests, and persecuted David, giving ear to the Ziphites; so this man prefers heretics to the godly, and still persecutes them that flee from him, giving ear to his own eunuchs, who falsely accuse the orthodox. He does not perceive that whatever he does or writes in behalf of the heresy of the Arians, involves an attack¹⁷⁴⁰ upon the Saviour.

68. Constantius worse than Saul, Ahab, and Pilate. His past conduct to his own relations.

Ahab himself did not act so cruelly towards the priests of God, as this man has acted towards the Bishops. For he was at least pricked in his conscience, when Naboth had been murdered, and was afraid at the sight¹⁷⁴¹ of Elijah, but this man neither reverenced the great Hosius, nor was wearied or pricked in conscience, after banishing so many Bishops; but like another Pharaoh, the more he is afflicted, the more he is hardened, and imagines greater wickedness day by day. And the most extraordinary instance of his iniquity was the following. It happened that when the Bishops were condemned to banishment, certain other persons also received their sentence on charges of murder or sedition or theft, each according to the quality of his offence. These men after a few months he released, on being requested to do so, as Pilate did Barabbas; but the servants of Christ he not only refused to set at liberty, but even sentenced them to more unmerciful punishment in the place of their exile, proving himself ‘an undying evil¹⁷⁴²’ to them. To the others through congeniality of disposition he became a friend; but to the orthodox he was an enemy on account of their true faith in Christ. Is it not clear to all men from hence, that the Jews of old when they demanded Barabbas,

¹⁷³⁶ Matt. xvi. 24.

¹⁷³⁷ John vi. 67.

¹⁷³⁸ Cf. *De Syn.* 5, note 10.

¹⁷³⁹ 1 Sam. xxii. 18, LXX.

¹⁷⁴⁰ *Apol. Ar.* 23.

¹⁷⁴¹ 1 Kings xxi. 20.

¹⁷⁴² A quotation from Homer, Od. xii. 118.

and crucified the Lord, acted but the part which these present enemies of Christ are acting together with Constantius? nay, that he is even more bitter than Pilate. For Pilate, when he perceived¹⁷⁴³ the injustice of the deed, washed his hands; but this man, while he banishes the saints, gnashes his teeth against them more and more.

296 69. But what wonder is it if, after he has been led into impious errors, he is so cruel towards the Bishops, since the common feelings of humanity could not induce him to spare even his own kindred. His uncles¹⁷⁴⁴ he slew; his cousins he put out of the way; he commiserated not the sufferings of his father-in-law, though he had married his daughter, or of his kinsmen; but he has ever been a transgressor of his oaths towards all. So likewise he treated his brother in an unholy manner; and now he pretends to build his sepulchre, although he delivered up to the barbarians his betrothed wife Olympias, whom his brother had protected till his death, and had brought up as his intended consort. Moreover he attempted to set aside his wishes, although he boasts to be his heir¹⁷⁴⁵; for so he writes, in terms which any one possessed of but a small measure of sense would be ashamed of. But when I compare his letters, I find that he does not possess common understanding, but that his mind is solely regulated by the suggestions of others, and that he has no mind of his own at all. Now Solomon says, ‘If a ruler hearken to lies, all his servants are wicked¹⁷⁴⁶.’ This man proves by his actions that he is such an unjust one, and that those about him are wicked.

70. *Inconstancy of Constantius.*

How then, being such an one, and taking pleasure in such associates, can he ever design anything just or reasonable, entangled as he is in the iniquity of his followers, men who verily bewitch him, or rather who have trampled his brains under their heels? Wherefore he now writes letters¹⁷⁴⁷, and then repents that he has written them, and after repenting is again stirred up to anger, and then again laments his fate, and being undetermined what to do, he shews a soul destitute of understanding. Being then of such a character, one must fairly pity him, because that under the semblance and name of freedom he is the slave of those who drag him on to gratify their own impious pleasure. In a word, while through his folly and inconstancy, as the Scripture saith¹⁷⁴⁸, he is willing to comply with the desires of others, he has given himself up to condemnation, to be consumed by fire in the future judgment; at once consenting to do whatever they wish, and gratifying them in their designs against the Bishops, and in their exertion of authority over the Churches. For behold, he has now

¹⁷⁴³ Matt. xxvii. 24.

¹⁷⁴⁴ [See above, p. 134, note 8, and ref. there; also Gibbon, ch. xviii. vol. ii. p. 364 *sqq.*]

¹⁷⁴⁵ Cf. §60, note 6.

¹⁷⁴⁶ Prov. xxix. 12.

¹⁷⁴⁷ Cf. §51.

¹⁷⁴⁸ Prov. vii. 22, LXX.

again thrown into disorder all the Churches of Alexandria¹⁷⁴⁹ and of Egypt and Libya, and has publicly given orders, that the Bishops of the Catholic Church and faith be cast out of their churches, and that they be all given up to the professors of the Arian doctrines¹⁷⁵⁰. The General began to carry this order into execution; and straightway Bishops were sent off in chains, and Presbyters and Monks bound with iron, after being almost beaten to death with stripes. Disorder prevails in every place; all Egypt and Libya are in danger, the people being indignant at this unjust command, and seeing in it the preparation for the coming of Antichrist, and beholding their property plundered by others, and given up into the hands of the heretics.

71. This wickedness unprecedented.

When was ever such iniquity heard of? when was such an evil deed ever perpetrated, even in times of persecution? They were heathens who persecuted formerly; but they did not bring their idols into the Churches. Zenobia¹⁷⁵¹, was a Jewess, and a supporter of Paul of Samosata; but she did not give up the Churches to the Jews for Synagogues. This is a new piece of iniquity. It is not simply persecution, but more than persecution, it is a prelude and preparation¹⁷⁵² for the coming of Antichrist. Even if it be admitted that they invented false charges against Athanasius and the rest of the Bishops whom they banished, yet what is this to their later practices? What charges have they to allege against the whole of Egypt and Libya and Pentapolis¹⁷⁵³? For they have begun no longer to lay their plots against individuals, in which case they might be able to frame a lie against them; but they have set upon all in a body, so that if they merely choose to invent accusations against them, they must be condemned. Thus their wickedness has blinded their understanding¹⁷⁵⁴; and they have required, without any reason assigned, that the whole body of the Bishops shall be expelled, and thereby they shew that the charges they framed against Athanasius and the rest of the Bishops whom they banished were false, and invented for no other purpose than to support the accused heresy of the Arian enemies of Christ. This is now no longer concealed, but has become most manifest to all men. He commanded Athanasius to be expelled out of the city, and gave up the Churches to them. And the Presbyters and Deacons that were with him, who had been appointed by Peter and Alexander, were also expelled and driven into banishment; and the real Arians, who not through any suspicions arising from circumstances, but on account of the heresy had been

¹⁷⁴⁹ *Apol. Const.* 27.

¹⁷⁵⁰ §54.

¹⁷⁵¹ [This is ‘certainly false,’ see *Encyclop. Brit.*, art. Palmyra, p. 201, note 4.]

¹⁷⁵² §67, note 8.

¹⁷⁵³ Cf. §3.

¹⁷⁵⁴ *Wisd.* ii. 21.

297

expelled at first together with Arius himself by the Bishop Alexander,—Secundus in Libya, in Alexandria Euzoius¹⁷⁵⁵ the Chananæan, Julius, Ammon, Marcus, Irenæus, Zosimus, and Sarapion surnamed Pelycon, and in Libya Sisinnius, and the younger men with him, associates in his impiety; these have obtained possession of the Churches.

72. *Banishment of Egyptian Bishops.*

And the General Sebastian wrote to the governors and military authorities in every place; and the true Bishops were persecuted, and those who professed impious doctrines were brought in in their stead. They banished Bishops who had grown old in orders, and had been many years in the Episcopate, having been ordained by the Bishop Alexander; Ammonius¹⁷⁵⁶, Hermes, Anagamphus, and Marcus, they sent to the Upper Oasis; Muis, Psenosiris, Nilammon, Plenes, Marcus, and Athenodorus to Ammoniaca, with no other intention than that they should perish in their passage through the deserts. They had no pity on them though they were suffering from illness, and indeed proceeded on their journey with so much difficulty on account of their weakness, that they were obliged to be carried in litters, and their sickness was so dangerous that the materials for their burial accompanied them. One of them indeed died, but they would not even permit the body to be given up to his friends for interment. With the same purpose they banished also the Bishop Dracontius to the desert places about Clyisma, Philo to Babylon, Adelphius to Psinabla in the Thebais, and the Presbyters Hierax and Dioscorus to Syene. They likewise drove into exile Ammonius, Agathus, Agathodæmon, Apollonius, Eulogius, Apollos, Paphnutius, Gaius, and Flavius, ancient Bishops, as also the Bishops Dioscorus, Ammonius, Heraclides, and Psais; some of whom they gave up to work in the stone-quarries, others they persecuted with an intention to destroy, and many others they plundered. They banished also forty of the laity, with certain virgins whom they had before exposed to the fire¹⁷⁵⁷; beating them so severely with rods taken from palm-trees, that after lingering five days some of them died, and others had recourse to surgical treatment on account of the thorns left in their limbs, from which they suffered torments worse than death¹⁷⁵⁸. But what is most dreadful to the mind of any man of sound understanding, though characteristic of these miscreants, is this: When the virgins during the scourging called upon the Name of Christ, they gnashed their teeth against them with increased fury. Nay more, they would not give up the bodies of the dead to their friends for burial, but concealed them that they might appear to be ignorant of the murder. They did not however escape detection; the whole city perceived it, and all men withdrew from them as executioners, as malefactors and robbers. Moreover they overthrew monasteries, and endeavoured to cast monks into the fire; they plundered houses, and breaking into the house of certain free

¹⁷⁵⁵ Cf. *Dep. Ar.*

¹⁷⁵⁶ Cf. *Ap. Fug.* 7.

¹⁷⁵⁷ *Ap. Fug.* 6.

¹⁷⁵⁸ Ib. 7.

citizens where the Bishop had deposited a treasure, they plundered and took it away. They scourged the widows on the soles of their feet, and hindered them from receiving their alms.

73. *Character of Arian nominees.*

Such were the iniquities practised by the Arians; and as to their further deeds of impiety, who could hear the account of them without shuddering? They had caused these venerable old men and aged Bishops to be sent into banishment; they now appointed in their stead profligate heathen youths, whom they thought to raise at once to the highest dignity, though they were not even Catechumens¹⁷⁵⁹. And others who were accused of bigamy¹⁷⁶⁰, and even of worse crimes, they nominated Bishops on account of the wealth and civil power which they possessed, and sent them out as it were from a market, upon their giving them gold. And now more dreadful calamities befel the people. For when they rejected these mercenary dependents of the Arians, so alien from themselves, they were scourged, they were proscribed, they were shut up in prison by the General (who did all this readily, being a Manichee), in order that they might no longer seek after their own Bishops, but be forced to accept those whom they abominated, men who were now guilty of the same mockeries as they had before practised among their idols.

74. *The Episcopal appointments of Constantius a mark of Antichrist.*

Will not every just person break forth into lamentations at the sight or hearing of these things, at perceiving the arrogance and extreme injustice of these impious men? ‘The righteous lament in the place of the impious¹⁷⁶¹.’ After all these things, and now that the impiety has reached such a pitch of audacity, who will any longer venture to call this Costyllius¹⁷⁶² a Christian, and not rather the image of Antichrist? For what mark of Antichrist is yet wanting? How can he in any way fail to be regarded as that one? or how can the latter fail to be supposed such a one as he is? Did not the Arians and the Gentiles offer those sacrifices in the great Church in the Cæsareum¹⁷⁶³, and utter their blasphemies against Christ as by His command? And does not the vision of Daniel thus describe¹⁷⁶⁴ Antichrist; that he shall make war with the saints, and prevail against them, and exceed all that have been before him in evil deeds and shall humble three kings, and speak words against the Most High, and shall think to change times and laws? Now what other person besides Constantius has ever attempted to do these things? He is surely such a one as Antichrist would be. He speaks

298

¹⁷⁵⁹ Vid. Hallier, *de Ordin.* part 2. i. 1, art. 2.

¹⁷⁶⁰ διγυνάιοις, not διγύμοις, On the latter, vid. Suicer, *Thess. in voc.* διγυμία. Tertull. *de Monogam.*

¹⁷⁶¹ Prov. xxviii. 28, LXX.

¹⁷⁶² An irregularly formed diminutive, or a quasi diminutive from Constantius, as Agathyllus from Agathocles, Heryllus from Heracles, &c. vid. Matth. *Gr. Gramm.* §102. ed. 1820. [Curtius, §347]

¹⁷⁶³ *Ap. Const.* 14, supr. §55.

¹⁷⁶⁴ Dan. vii. 25.

words against the Most High by supporting this impious heresy: he makes war against the saints by banishing the Bishops; although indeed he exercises this power but for a little while¹⁷⁶⁵ to his own destruction. Moreover he has surpassed those before him in wickedness, having devised a new mode of persecution; and after he had overthrown three kings, namely Vetranio, Magnentius, and Gallus, he straightway undertook the patronage of impiety; and like a giant¹⁷⁶⁶ he has dared in his pride to set himself up against the Most High. He has thought to change laws, by transgressing the ordinance of the Lord given us through His Apostles, by altering the customs of the Church, and inventing a new kind of appointments. For he sends from strange places, distant a fifty days' journey¹⁷⁶⁷, Bishops attended by soldiers to people unwilling to receive them; and instead of an introduction to the acquaintance of their people, they bring with them threatening messages and letters to the magistrates. Thus he sent Gregory from Cappadocia¹⁷⁶⁸ to Alexandria; he transferred Germinius from Cyzicus to Sirmium; he removed Cecropius from Laodicea to Nicomedia.

75. Arrival of George at Alexandria, and proceedings of Constantius in Italy.

Again he transferred from Cappadocia to Milan one Auxentius¹⁷⁶⁹, an intruder rather than a Christian, whom he commanded to stay there, after he had banished for his piety towards Christ Dionysius the Bishop of the place, a godly man. But this person was as yet even ignorant of the Latin language, and unskilful in everything except impiety. And now one George, a Cappadocian, who was contractor of stores¹⁷⁷⁰ at Constantinople, and having embezzled all monies that he received, was obliged to fly, he commanded to enter Alexandria with military pomp, and supported by the authority of the General. Next, finding one Epictetus¹⁷⁷¹ a novice, a bold young man, he loved him¹⁷⁷², perceiving that he was ready for wickedness; and by his means he carries on his designs

¹⁷⁶⁵ Constantius died at 45, having openly apostatized for about six years. Julian died at 32, after a reign of a year and a half.
vid. supr. §32. vid. also Bellarmin. *de Notis Eccl.* 17 and 18.

¹⁷⁶⁶ Vid. *de Decr.* §32, note 8, *Orat.* ii. §32, Naz. *Orat.* 43, 26. *Socr. Hist.* v. 10, p. 268.

¹⁷⁶⁷ *Ep. Ag.* 7.

¹⁷⁶⁸ *Encycl.* 2.

¹⁷⁶⁹ Cf. *de Syn.* §§1, 8, and *Ep. Ag.* 7.

¹⁷⁷⁰ Cf. supr. §56, note 8.

¹⁷⁷¹ Epictetus above, p. 226, is called ὑποκρίτης, which Montfaucon translated ‘stage-player.’ It is a question whether more than ‘actor’ is meant by it, alluding to the mockery of an ordination in which he seems to have taken part. Though an Asiatic apparently by birth, he was made Bishop of Civita Vecchia. We hear of him at the conference between Constantius and Liberius. Theod. *H. E.* ii. 13. Then he assists in the ordination of Felix. Afterwards he made a martyr of S. Ruffinian by making him run before his carriage; and he ends his historical career by taking a chief part among the Arians at Ariminum. vid. Tillem. t. vi. p. 380. &c. Ughell. *Ital.* t. 10. p. 56.

¹⁷⁷² The Greek is Ἐπικτητόν τινα...νεώτερον...ἡγάπησεν, δρῶν, κ. τ. λ. So in the account of the νεανίσκος, ο δὲ Ἰησοῦς ἐμβλέψας αὐτῷ, ἡγάπησεν αὐτόν. Mark x. 21.

against those of the Bishops whom he desires to ruin. For he is prepared to do everything that the Emperor wishes; who accordingly availing himself of his assistance, has committed at Rome a strange act, but one truly resembling the malice of Antichrist. Having made preparations in the Palace instead of the Church, and caused some three of his own eunuchs to attend instead of the people, he then compelled three¹⁷⁷³ ill-conditioned spies¹⁷⁷⁴ (for one cannot call them Bishops), to ordain forsooth as Bishop one Felix¹⁷⁷⁵, a man worthy of them, then in the Palace. For the people perceiving the iniquitous proceedings of the heretics would not allow them to enter the Churches¹⁷⁷⁶, and withdrew themselves far from them.

76. Tyrannous banishment of Bishops by Constantius.

Now what is yet wanting to make him Antichrist? or what more could Antichrist do at his coming than this man has done? Will he not find when he comes that the way has been already prepared for him by this man easily to deceive the people? Again¹⁷⁷⁷, he claims to himself the right of deciding causes, which he refers to the Court instead of the Church, and presides at them in person. And strange it is to say, when he perceives the accusers at a loss, he takes up the accusation himself, so that the injured party may no longer be able to defend himself on account of the violence which he displays. This he did in the proceedings against Athanasius. For when he saw the boldness of the Bishops Paulinus, Lucifer, Eusebius, and Dionysius, and how out of the recantation of Ursacius and Valens¹⁷⁷⁸ they confuted those who spoke against the Bishop, and advised that Valens and his fellows should no longer be believed, since they had already retracted what they now asserted, he immediately stood up¹⁷⁷⁹ and said, ‘I am now the accuser of Athanasius; on my account you must believe what these assert.’ And then, when they said,—‘But how can you be an accuser, when the accused person is not present? for if you are his accuser, yet he is not present, and therefore cannot be tried. And the cause is not one that concerns Rome, so that you should be believed as being the Emperor; but it is a matter that concerns a Bishop; for the trial ought to be conducted on equal terms both to the accuser and the accused. And besides, how can you accuse him? for you could not be present to witness the conduct of one who lived at so great a distance from you; and if you speak but what you have heard from these, you ought also to give credit to what he says; but

¹⁷⁷³ i.e. to keep up the canonical number; and cf. the case of Novatian, in Euseb. *H. E.* vi. 43. On the custom, vid. Bingh.

Antiqu. ii. 11, §4.

¹⁷⁷⁴ §48, note 5.

¹⁷⁷⁵ Cf. Tillemont, *Mem.* t. 6. p. 778. Bolland. *Catal. Pontif.* ch. 21. p. 390. [Döllinger, ‘Fables respecting the Popes;’ D.C.B. ii. 480. Felix figures in the middle ages as the orthodox rival of the ‘Arian’ Liberius.]

¹⁷⁷⁶ Cf. Theod. *Hist.* ii. 17.

¹⁷⁷⁷ §§44, 52.

¹⁷⁷⁸ Cf. *Apol. Ar.* 58.

¹⁷⁷⁹ §33.

if you will not believe him, while you do believe them, it is plain that they assert these things for your sake, and accuse Athanasius only to gratify you?"—when he heard this, thinking that what they had so truly spoken was an insult to himself, he sent them into banishment; and being exasperated against Athanasius, he wrote in a more savage strain, requiring that he should suffer what has now befallen him, and that the Churches should be given up to the Arians, and that they should be allowed to do whatever they pleased.

77. Constantius the precursor of Antichrist.

Terrible indeed, and worse than terrible are such proceedings; yet conduct suitable to him who assumes the character of Antichrist. Who that beheld him taking the lead of his pretended Bishops, and presiding in Ecclesiastical causes, would not justly exclaim that this was 'the abomination of desolation'¹⁷⁸⁰ spoken of by Daniel? For having put on the profession of Christianity, and entering into the holy places, and standing therein, he lays waste the Churches, transgressing their Canons, and enforcing the observance of his own decrees. Will any one now venture to say that this is a peaceful time with Christians, and not a time of persecution? A persecution indeed, such as never arose before, and such as no one perhaps will again stir up, except 'the son of lawlessness'¹⁷⁸¹, do these enemies of Christ exhibit, who already present a picture of him in their own persons. Wherefore it especially behoves us to be sober, lest this heresy which has reached such a height of impudence, and has diffused itself abroad like the 'poison of an adder'¹⁷⁸², as it is written in the Proverbs, and which teaches doctrines contrary to the Saviour; lest, I say, this be that 'falling away'¹⁷⁸³, after which He shall be revealed, of whom Constantius is surely the forerunner¹⁷⁸⁴. Else wherefore is he so mad against the godly? wherefore does he contend for it as his own heresy, and call every one his enemy who will not comply with the madness of Arius, and admit gladly the allegations of the enemies of Christ, and dishonour so many venerable Councils? why did he command that the Churches should be given up to the Arians? was it not that, when that other comes, he may thus find a way to enter into them, and may take to himself him who has prepared those places for him? For the ancient Bishops who were ordained by Alexander, and by his predecessor Achillas, and by Peter before him, have been cast out; and those introduced whom the companions of soldiers nominated; and they nominated only such as promised to adopt their doctrines.

78. Alliance of Meletians with Arians.

¹⁷⁸⁰ Dan. ix. 27.

¹⁷⁸¹ 2 Thess. ii. 8.

¹⁷⁸² Prov. xxiii. 32.

¹⁷⁸³ 2 Thess. ii. 3.

¹⁷⁸⁴ *De Syn.* 5, note 10.

This was an easy proposition for the Meletians to comply with; for the greater part, or rather the whole of them, have never had a religious education, nor are they acquainted with the ‘sound faith¹⁷⁸⁵’ in Christ, nor do they know at all what Christianity is, or what writings we Christians possess. For having come out, some of them from the worship of idols, and others from the senate, or from the first civil offices, for the sake of the miserable exemption¹⁷⁸⁶ from duty and for the patronage they gained, and having bribed¹⁷⁸⁷ the Meletians who preceded them, they have been advanced to this dignity even before they had been under instruction. And even if they pretended to have been such, yet what kind of instruction is to be obtained among the Meletians? But indeed without even pretending to be under instruction, they came at once, and immediately were called Bishops, just as children receive a name. Being then persons of this description, they thought the thing of no great consequence, nor even supposed that piety was different from impiety. Accordingly from being Meletians they readily and speedily became Arians; and if the Emperor should command them to adopt any other profession, they are ready to change again to that also. Their ignorance of true godliness quickly brings them to submit to the prevailing folly, and that which happens to be first taught them. For it is nothing to them to be carried about by every wind¹⁷⁸⁸ and tempest, so long as they are only exempt from duty, and obtain the patronage of men; nor would they scruple probably to change again¹⁷⁸⁹ to what they were before, even to become such as they were when they were heathens. Any how, being men of such an easy temper, and considering the Church as a civil senate, and like heathen being idolatrously minded, they put on the honourable name¹⁷⁹⁰ of the Saviour, under which they polluted the whole of Egypt, by causing so much as the name of the Arian heresy to be known therein. For Egypt has heretofore been the only country, throughout which the profession of the orthodox faith was boldly maintained¹⁷⁹¹; and therefore these misbelievers have striven to introduce jealousy there also, or rather not they, but the devil who has stirred them up, in order that when his herald Antichrist shall come, he may find that the Churches in Egypt also are his own, and that the Meletians have already been instructed in his principles, and may recognise himself as already formed¹⁷⁹² in them.

79. Behaviour of the Meletians contrasted with that of the Alexandrian Christians.

¹⁷⁸⁵ Cf. Tit. i. 13, ii. 2.

¹⁷⁸⁶ Cf. *Ap. Ar.* 56.

¹⁷⁸⁷ Ib. 59, *Ep. Ag.* 22.

¹⁷⁸⁸ Cf. Eph. iv. 14

¹⁷⁸⁹ *Ap. Ar.* 59, 63.

¹⁷⁹⁰ Cf. James ii. 7

¹⁷⁹¹ Cf. *Apol. Ar.* 52.

¹⁷⁹² Ctr. Gal. iv. 19

Such is the effect of that iniquitous order which was issued by Constantius. On the part of the people there was displayed a ready alacrity to submit to martyrdom, and an increased hatred of this most impious heresy; and yet lamentations for their Churches, and groans burst from all, while they cried unto the Lord, ‘Spare Thy people, O Lord, and give not Thine heritage unto Thine enemies to reproach¹⁷⁹³;’ but make haste to deliver us out of the hand of the lawless¹⁷⁹⁴. For behold, ‘they have not spared Thy servants, but are preparing the way for Antichrist.’ For the Meletians will never resist him, nor will they care for the truth, nor will they esteem it an evil thing to deny Christ. They are men who have not approached the word with sincerity; like the chameleon¹⁷⁹⁵ they assume every various appearance; they are hirelings of any who will make use of them. They make not the truth their aim, but prefer before it their present pleasure; they say only, ‘Let us eat and drink, for tomorrow we die¹⁷⁹⁶.’ Such a profession and faithless temper is more worthy of Epicritian¹⁷⁹⁷ players than of Meletians. But the faithful servants of our Saviour, and the true Bishops who believe with sincerity, and live not for themselves, but for the Lord; these faithfully believing in our Lord Jesus Christ, and knowing, as I said before, that the charges which were alleged against the truth were false, and plainly fabricated for the sake of the Arian heresy (for by the recantation¹⁷⁹⁸ of Ursacius and Valens they detected the calumnies which were devised against Athanasius, for the purpose of removing him out of the way, and of introducing into the Churches the impieties of the enemies of Christ); these, I say, perceiving all this, as defenders and preachers of the truth, chose rather, and endured to be insulted and driven into banishment, than to subscribe against him, and to hold communion with the Arian madmen. They forgot not the lessons they had taught to others; yea, they know well that great dishonour remains for the traitors, but for them which confess the truth, the kingdom of heaven; and that to the careless and such as fear Constantius will happen no good thing; but for them that endure tribulations here, as sailors reach a quiet haven after a storm, as wrestlers receive a crown after the combat, so these shall obtain great and eternal joy and delight in heaven;—such as Joseph obtained after those tribulations; such as the great Daniel had after his temptations and the manifold conspiracies of the courtiers against him; such as Paul now enjoys, being crowned by the Saviour; such as the people of God everywhere expect. They, seeing these things, were not infirm of purpose, but waxed strong in faith¹⁷⁹⁹, and increased in their zeal more and more. Being fully persuaded of the calumnies and impieties of the heretics, they condemn the

¹⁷⁹³ Joel ii. 17.

¹⁷⁹⁴ ἀνόμων, Cf. 2 Thess. ii. 8

¹⁷⁹⁵ *de Decr.* 1, note 3.

¹⁷⁹⁶ 1 Cor. xv. 32.

¹⁷⁹⁷ Histriorum genus, Montf. [The allusion is obscure. Epicrates was a comedian of the 4th. cent. b.c.]

¹⁷⁹⁸ *Apol. Ar.* 58.

¹⁷⁹⁹ Cf. Rom. iv. 20

persecutor, and in heart and mind run together the same course with them that are persecuted, that they also may obtain the crown of Confession.

80. *Duty of separating from heretics.*

One might say much more against this detestable and antichristian heresy, and might demonstrate by many arguments that the practices of Constantius are a prelude to the coming of Antichrist. But seeing that, as the Prophet¹⁸⁰⁰ has said, from the feet even to the head there is no reasonableness in it, but it is full of all filthiness and all impiety, so that the very name of it ought to be avoided as a dog's vomit or the poison of serpents; and seeing that Costyllius openly exhibits the image of the adversary¹⁸⁰¹; in order that our words may not be too many, it will be well to content ourselves with the divine Scripture, and that we all obey the precept which it has given us both in regard to other heresies, and especially respecting this. That precept is as follows; 'Depart ye, depart ye, go ye out from thence, touch no unclean thing; go ye out of the midst of them, and be ye separate, that bear the vessels of the Lord'¹⁸⁰². This may suffice¹⁸⁰³ to instruct us all, so that if any one has been deceived by them, he may go out from them, as out of Sodom, and not return again unto them, lest he suffer the fate of Lot's wife; and if any one has continued from the beginning pure from this impious heresy, he may glory in Christ and say, 'We have not stretched out our hands to a strange god'¹⁸⁰⁴; neither have we worshipped the works of our own hands, nor served the creature¹⁸⁰⁵ more than Thee, the God that hast created all things through Thy word, the Only-Begotten Son our Lord Jesus Christ, through whom to Thee the Father together with the same Word in the Holy Spirit be glory and power for ever and ever. Amen.'

81. *The Second Protest*¹⁸⁰⁶.

The people of the Catholic Church in Alexandria, which is under the government of the most Reverend Bishop Athanasius, make this public protest by those whose names are under-written.

¹⁸⁰⁰ Isa. i. 6.

¹⁸⁰¹ Cf. 2 Thess. ii. 4.

¹⁸⁰² Is. lii. 11.

¹⁸⁰³ [A somewhat characteristic phrase of Athanasius.]

¹⁸⁰⁴ Ps. xliv. 20.

¹⁸⁰⁵ *Ep. Ag.* 13 note 1.

¹⁸⁰⁶ Of the two Protests referred to supr. §48, the first was omitted by the copyists, as being already contained, as Montfaucon seems to say, in the Apology against the Arians; yet if it be the one to which allusion is made in the beginning of the Protest which follows, it is not found there, nor does it appear what document of a.d. 356 could properly have a place in a set of papers which end with a.d. 350.

We have already protested against the nocturnal assault which was committed upon ourselves and the Lord's house; although in truth there needed no protest in respect to proceedings with which the whole city has been already made acquainted. For the bodies of the slain which were discovered were exposed in public, and the bows and arrows and other arms found in the Lord's house loudly proclaim the iniquity.

But whereas after our Protest already made, the most illustrious Duke Syrianus endeavours to force all men to agree with him, as though no tumult had been made, nor any had perished (wherein is no small proof that these things were not done according to the wishes of the most gracious Emperor Augustus Constantius; for he would not have been so much afraid of the consequences of this transaction, had he acted therein by command); and whereas also, when we went to him, and requested him not to do violence to any, nor to deny what had taken place, he ordered us, being Christians, to be beaten with clubs; thereby again giving proof of the nocturnal assault which has been directed against the Church:—

We therefore make also this present Protest, certain of us being now about to travel to the most religious Emperor Augustus: and we adjure Maximus the Prefect of Egypt, and the Controllers¹⁸⁰⁷, in the name of Almighty God, and for the sake of the salvation of the most religious Augustus Constantius, to relate all these things to the piety of Augustus, and to the authority of the most illustrious Prefects¹⁸⁰⁸. We adjure also the masters of vessels, to publish these things everywhere, and to carry them to the ears of the most religious Augustus, and to the Prefects and the Magistrates in every place, in order that it may be known that a war has been waged against the Church, and that, in the times of Augustus Constantius, Syrianus has caused virgins and many others to become martyrs.

As it dawned upon the fifth before the Ides of February¹⁸⁰⁹, that is to say, the fourteenth of the month Mechir, while we were keeping vigil¹⁸¹⁰ in the Lord's house, and engaged in our prayers (for there was to be a communion on the Preparation¹⁸¹¹); suddenly about midnight, the most illustrious Duke Syrianus attacked us and the Church with many legions of soldiers¹⁸¹² armed with naked swords and javelins and other warlike instruments, and wearing helmets on their heads; and actually while we were praying, and while the lessons were being read, they broke down the doors. And when the doors were burst open by the violence of the multitude, he gave command, and some of them were shooting; others shouting, their arms rattling, and their swords flashing in the light of the lamps; and forthwith virgins were being slain, many men trampled down, and falling over one

¹⁸⁰⁷ *Ap. Ar.* 73, note.

¹⁸⁰⁸ i.e. Praetorian.

¹⁸⁰⁹ Febr. 9.

¹⁸¹⁰ *Ap. Const.* 25; *Ap. Fug.* 24.

¹⁸¹¹ Friday vid. *Encyc.* 4, note 9.

¹⁸¹² i.e. more than 5,000, *Ap. Fug.* 24.

another as the soldiers came upon them, and several were pierced with arrows and perished. Some of the soldiers also were betaking themselves to plunder, and were stripping the virgins, who were more afraid of being even touched by them than they were of death. The Bishop continued sitting upon his throne, and exhorted all to pray. The Duke led on the attack, having with him Hilarius the notary, whose part in the proceedings was shewn in the sequel. The Bishop was seized, and barely escaped being torn to pieces; and having fallen into a state of insensibility, and appearing as one dead, he disappeared from among them, and has gone we know not whither. They were eager to kill him. And when they saw that many had perished, they gave orders to the soldiers to remove out of sight the bodies of the dead. But the most holy virgins who were left behind were buried in the tombs, having attained the glory of martyrdom in the times of the most religious Constantius. Deacons also were beaten with stripes even in the Lord's house, and were shut up there.

Nor did matters stop even here: for after all this had happened, whosoever pleased broke open any door that he could, and searched, and plundered what was within. They entered even into those places which not even all Christians are allowed to enter. Gorgonius, the commander of the city force¹⁸¹³, knows this, for he was present. And no unimportant evidence of the nature of this hostile assault is afforded by the circumstance, that the armour and javelins and swords borne by those who entered were left in the Lord's house. They have been hung up in the Church until this time, that they might not be able to deny it: and although they sent several times Dynamius the soldier¹⁸¹⁴, as well as the Commander¹⁸¹⁵ of the city police, desiring to take them away, we would not allow it, until the circumstance was known to all.

Now if an order has been given that we should be persecuted we are all ready to suffer martyrdom. But if it be not by order of Augustus, we desire Maximus the Prefect of Egypt and all the city magistrates to request of him that they may not again be suffered thus to assail us. And we desire also that this our petition may be presented to him, that they may not attempt to bring in hither any other Bishop: for we have resisted unto death¹⁸¹⁶, desiring to have the most Reverend Athanasius, whom God gave us at the beginning, according to the succession of our fathers; whom also the most religious Augustus Constantius himself sent to us with letters and oaths. And we believe that when his Piety is informed of what has taken place, he will be greatly displeased, and will do nothing contrary to his oaths, but will again give orders that our Bishop Athanasius shall remain with us.

¹⁸¹³ στρατηγοῦ. There were two στρατηγοὶ or duumvirs at the head of the police force at Alexandria; they are mentioned in the plural in Euseb. vii. 11, where S. Dionysius speaks of their seizing him. vid. Du Cange, *Gloss. Græc. in voc.*

¹⁸¹⁴ στρατηγοῦ. There were two στρατηγοὶ or duumvirs at the head of the police force at Alexandria; they are mentioned in the plural in Euseb. vii. 11, where S. Dionysius speaks of their seizing him. vid. Du Cange, *Gloss. Græc. in voc.*

¹⁸¹⁵ τὸν τῆς τάξεως, supr. §61, στρατιώτου

¹⁸¹⁶ *Apol. Ar.* 38.

To the Consuls to be elected¹⁸¹⁷ after the Consulship of the most illustrious Arbæthion and Collianus¹⁸¹⁸, on the seventeenth Mechir¹⁸¹⁹, which is the day before the Ides of February.

¹⁸¹⁷ Since the Consuls came into office on the first of January, and were proclaimed in each city, it is strange that the Alexandrians here speak in February as if ignorant of their names. The phrase, however, is found elsewhere. Thus in this very year the *Chron. Aceph.* dates Jan. 5 as ‘post Consulatum Arbitionis et Loliani.’ And in *Socr. Hist.* ii. 29, in the instance of the year 351, when there were no Consuls, and in 346, when there was a difference on the subject between the Emperors who were eventually themselves Consuls, the first months are dated in like manner from the Consuls of the foregoing year.

¹⁸¹⁸ Lollianus.

¹⁸¹⁹ Feb. 12, Leap year; see note below, at the end of Introd. to *Letters*.